

The Journal of the Anthropology Students Association of UBC



Student Anthropologist
The Journal of the Anthropology Students Association of UBC

Editors: Tomas Gustafson, Nicole Aleong, Megan McGeough, Alexandra Tucker, and Samara Mayer.

By the Anthropology Students Association (ASA) of UBC.
Prepared for the Undergraduate Anthropology Students and Faculty.

The full title of this journal is: Student Anthropologist, the Journal of the Anthropology Students Association of UBC, Volume 2, Issue 1.

The journal was printed in March, 2013.

This work (UBC ASA Undergraduate Journal, by Emily Allan, Rachel Zuliniak, Conan Gradson, Michele Morucci, Sarah Jane Kerr-Lapsley, Giulia Sciolli, Craig Turney, and Jessie Tougas), identified by the University of British Columbia Anthropology Student's Association, is free from known copyright restrictions.

Table of Contents

Introduction Tomas Gustafson	1
Cultural Appropriation, Commodification, and the Agency of the B	
Emily Allan	2
West Papuan Self-Determination	
Jessie Tougas	12
Discourses of Agency in the Debate on Consumption	
Michele Morucci	23
Sustainable Development and the Canadian Mining Industry	
Craig Turney	32
Shifting Approaches to HIV in the Pacific	
Rachel Zuliniak	45
Illness Narratives and the Rhetoric of Contrast	
Giulia Sciolli	51
Connecting Aboriginal Peoples to Traditional Lands in Guadalcan	al
Conan Gradson	59
Human Nature and Nature Nature: Anthropological Problems in T	heory and
Practice Sarah Jane Kerr-Lapsley	71
Saran Jame Kerr-Lapsiey	4.4

Introduction

Welcome to Student Anthropologist, the undergraduate journal of the University of British Columbia's Anthropology Students Association (ASA).

Building on last year's successful launch of the journal, we wanted to continue the tradition of giving students in Anthropology, and, indeed, any students interested in anthropology, the chance to make their voices heard on issues they find pertinent. The journal gives students an opportunity to see their work in print, providing them a chance to see what the world of anthropology is all about once they leave the confines of university.

This year we had the invaluable help of some veteran ASA members, whose advice helped make this journal possible. However, for some of us, myself included, it was a new, and interesting experience. Using various techniques, including classroom visits, emails, and TV slides in the ANSO building, we spread the word the presses would be rolling once more. This year we hoped to expand the range of materials included in the journal, such as magazine-style opinion articles, as well as visuals such as photos and drawings. We were pleasantly surprised to see a doubling of the number of submissions this year, across a broad range of topics. We even received a submission from an exchange student in the UK. We did not see the hoped-for alternative submissions we introduced this year, but it is understandable. Our dilemma is much like that of real anthropologists, how to make our # message resonate with a wide audience. We will continue to publish, and in doing so, provide the opportunity for engaged students to take the chance and show what they know.

We selected eight submissions this year, based on the input of five editors. The topics are diverse, covering issues such as consumerism, cultural appropriation, land ownership, and indigenous rights. As with last year, the papers are of cultural anthropology, again due to greater interest in topics in that field. We are eager to see the scope of the journal broaden in the coming years, and hope that each volume will build upon the last.

We hope you enjoy reading these collected works from the Department of Anthropology at UBC. Thank you for your interest and for encouraging our continuing effort to promote student voices.

Sincerely,

Tomas Gustafson

Cultural Appropriation, Commodification, and the Agency of the Hip-Hop Artist Emily Allan

Hip-hop finds its earliest roots in the early 1970s in the South Broex of New York, when DJs started spinning songs together at block parties, drawing in influences from funk, soul, reggae, and other similar cenres and mixing beats. Shortly after this came the addition of call-and-response and rapping, or MC-ing.* (the roots of which can be traced back to traditional African music) over these tracks. The central supporters at the beginning of the movement were mostly youth within Caribbean-American, African-American, and Latin-American communities (George 1998). The music style, and culture, became both more popular and more political in the 1980s with breakout acts like the Sugarhill Gang, Run D.M.C., and Public Enemy releasing popular hits (Abe 2009:264, George 1998). Since the 1990s, hip-hop has some in a few different directions, but its origins, when it came into its own as a popular genre, lie in marginalized communities speaking out against oppression. This paper will explore the creation and use of agency in hip-hop, meaning the power the individual hip hop artist has and can use to incite community action within, or against, the greater power structure. I seek to discover the effects that cultural appropriation, the taking of cultural components from one culture by another, and commodification, the consumption of something into a market mentality, have had on this agency. In this paper, I will argue that in some ways these phenomena dilute hip hop, both in quality and social constructiveness, taking away opportunities for agency; however, they also provide hip hop artists with new (and perhaps more nuanced) kinds of agency.

It is important to recognize here that hip-hop culture is not homogenous: there is great diversity within its music, styles, attitudes, politics, and goals. The racial discourse often operates in a 'black versus white' framework, contrasting the socially mobile white person and the disenfranchised black person.

Obviously, this is not always an accurate representation of reality (and there is more a spectrum of ethnicity included, particularly with Latin American participation in hip-hop culture), however the past and present oppression of black culture specifically by a white-dominated power structure has been the main focus of most hip-hop for much of its history. Further, it is important to distinguish the differences

within hip-hop culture that have emerged since its rise in popularity, hitting the mainstream in the late 1980s. I make one central distinction in this essay between mainstream hip-hop and underground hip-hop, the former being the more popular, commercialized (and commodified) form, and the latter being one that sticks closer to hip-hop's origins, focusing less on commercial success and more on political and social change. Michael Dowdy describes underground hip-hop artist as having "different social and political value as well as a different niche in the consumer market than contemporary multi-platinum, mainstream hip hop" (2007:76).

Hip-hop culture, whether mainstream or underground, can be said to rest in the "street culture"\of
the neighborhoods it originated in, of poverty and marginalization, and the subsequent ways individuals
rely on themselves and their families and communities. Many claim that hip-hop's ability to empower
individuals to challenge these oppressive forces has remained strong, partially due to its inclusive,
community-oriented nature (Abe 2009:267; Malone & Martinez 2010:542). Though it is only about four
decades old, hip-hop operates in many ways; as a form of "cultural expression and commercial industry,"
as "a network of social organizations working toward issues of social and economic justice," and as "an
avenue for political activism and participation" (Malone & Martinez 2010:542).

Not all are convinced that hip-hop possesses this kind of power, however. In his book All About the Beat: Why Hip-Hop Can't Save Black America, John McWhorter claims that hip-hop has merely been a reaction to the injustice of oppression, but has not moved beyond that into cultural or political solutions: "if the message of this supposedly revolutionary music is just 'Fuck!' the message is weak. [...] I am concerned with what the 'politics' of hip-hop tells us about where to go after we crupt with the idle, reactive cruption of Fuck" (McWhorter 2008:8). The attitude McWhorter speaks of is epitomized by rap group N.W.A's influential 1988 hit Fuck the Police. However, hip-hop, particularly in its underground forms, does seem to have moved past a phase of reaction into a constructive one: rappers often provide intelligent social commentaries and suggestions for creating positive social change. Canadian rapper Shad's song Keep Shinin' and Scattle rapper Macklemore's song Same Love advocate women's and gay rights, respectively; 2Pac's hit Keep Ya Head Up encourages marginalized women, while his song Dear

Mowa expresses his deep appreciation and respect for his mother. Additionally, the presence of wellrespected female voices in hip-hop such as Lauryn Hill and Missy Elliott have allowed for more
discussion of gender issues, as well as female identity, sexualization, and body image. These are only a
few examples of how the agency of the hip-hop artist includes this power to influence the social dialogue.

This agency operates within the context of oppressive power structures and recognizes the ability of the individual in create change in marginalized circumstances. Bourdieu famously discusses the relationship between structure and agency so prominent within anthropological thought, claiming that individuals often reproduce a structure, or "habitus," as he calls the dominant systems of entrenched attitudes in a society (1977). In hip-hop, the artist has the power to challenge these sets of attitudes, the "habitus" of racism and socioeconomic marginalization of black communities. Dowdy notes that, "in live [hip-hip] shows, performers and audience jointly produce a space of interactive engagement in which they can contest dominant cultural values," noting that this "empowers people who are often left out of public debates due to age, race, education, and socioeconomic status" (2007:78).

So, how is the agency of the hip-hop artist created? Artists base their legitimacy on a series of factors, from authenticity, to skill, to wealth, to street-worthiness, to a 'black identity.' Often, this 'black identity' is based in some form of street culture: the use of crime, violence, and the drug trade come into play here, as many rappers base their legitimacy in being able to navigate this world, and rise above it to success (while holding on to some of its elements). The basis of authenticity in this 'black identity' can certainly be limiting for artists, however it can also provide them with legitimacy and power. It is important to make another distinction here, between hip-hop that glorifies violence, crime, and drugs, to that which calls attention to it and points to the need for change (Reyna et al. 2009:362). The latter is not necessarily considered 'better' within hip-hop culture, many of iconic artists participate in the former, and even more artists do both. The latter is, however, much more socially constructive.

Legitimacy is also often based in the quality of the artist's music, of its beat and lyrical wordplay, its use of metaphors, internal rhyme, double-entendres, and other literary devices; and the artist's ability to reference respected hip-hop songs and artists. Intelligence, therefore, has always been a central facet to 5

authenticity in hip-hop (Jenkins 2011:1234). How this created agency is used in hip-hop is another consideration: the two main goals tend to be inciting social change (underground), and becoming successful (mainstream), though these are not mutually exclusive. Where normatively, many argue that hip-hop should be aimed at the former (Dowdy 2007; Reyna et al. 2009), it must be recognized that the latter creates a sphere of influence that enables different kinds of agency: hip-hop artists who reach the mainstream have the potential to reach a wider audience if they push for social change, though their message might be affected by other messages (such as the glorification of money, crime, and drugs, and often the objectification of women) which enabled their success. This also begs the question of how homemodification and cultural appropriation, which occur mostly with mainstream hip-hop, affect the agency of the artist.

First, I will discuss cultural appropriation. Due to hip-hop's obsession with authenticity, the taking of elements of hip-hop culture by dominant white culture has been criticized by many as illegitimate, taking a deserved sense of power away from black communities. This is particularly illegitimate because of the history of white oppression of the very culture it then uses for its own purposes; for financial gain, or a false sense of social progressiveness in pretending to identify with the 'black experience' (the satisfaction of so-called 'white guilt'), or the social prestige associated with elements of hip-hop culture (Abe 2009; Rodriquez 2006; Smith 2003). Whether this is intentional on an individual scale, such as at the hands of a white teenager involved in hip-hop culture, it remains the net effect, and this can deteriorate one of the most central sources of power that black communities have built (Rodriguez 2006). One of the ways that hip-hop culture has held on to this power is by marking distinctions between white and black participants within itself, and denying white people certain labels of authenticity. This can be seen in the discourse around the N-word, the main belief within hip-hop being that it should only be used by black individuals (Harkness 2008:40). Opinions on the N-word certainly vary: many, including those within black communities and hip-hop culture itself, believe it should be outlawed entirely. Some however, such as rapper Childish Gambino, suggest that normalizing it is the only way to rid it of its racist connotations, and it should thus be used by everyone: in his song You See Me, he implores, "can we hear the N-word

one day and not get upset?" The most dominant view within hip-hop culture is that the word is an important part of the culture, a marker of authenticity, a statement of camaraderie, and a mode for taking back power, that can only be used by black individuals (and, Harkess notes, those of other marginalized offinic groups, particularly Puerto Ricans, who have always been a part of hip-hop culture) (2008). The N-word thus remains one way that black hip-hop artists can strengthen their individual agency.

Following this line of thought, some argue that hip-hop should be preserved as a strictly black cultural expression (Rodriquez 2006); as many of its formational goals were to work against oppressive power structures dominated by white culture, it should remain a tool for this, as well as a celebration of success in this struggle. However, we must be skeptical of this mindset of cultural preservation. The flexibility of social boundaries and the spread of cultural traits are not new, neither are they necessarily preventable (Harkness 2008; Smith 2003; Malone & Martinez 2010). The question of whether a culture can ever be preserved is one discussed in William Roseberry's work: culture, he recognizes, is a dynamic process, not a finished product (1982). However, the fact that culture is constantly changing does not mean that it cannot be distinct, and perhaps stay that way. Roseberry also focuses on how important the recognition of a culture's historical processes is, and hip-hop is incredible in this respect; both mainstream and underground hip-hop artists constantly reference other artists' work in their lyrics. This selfreferencing is something that people do not tend to pick up on until they have listened to a substantial amount of hip-hop and understand these often eleverly worded references: this is another way that hip-hop keeps itself exclusive. However, it is important to note, as aforementioned artist Shad points out, that Western music - jazz, hip-hop, rock, blues, etc. - has always developed through cross-pollination across numes and between cultural communities and even countries," and that "it's difficult to talk about 'original culture' [...] because not much happens in isolation in the world of popular music" (letter to author, December 2, 2012). Indeed, hip-hop is particularly exemplary of this, as it relies heavily on sampling hooks and beats from songs belonging to other genres. However, Roseberry also discusses the importance of how stories are shaped according to their context and who is telling them (1982:1025-1026). This is directly relatable to how American history was, and is, often told from a white perspective: hip-hop is a way for African Americans to become the tellers of their own experience and historical context.

The question arises, then: does cultural appropriation of hip-hop by white culture take away this power, the agency of the artist? In some ways it can, if the artist is concerned with telling this story of the 'black experience' and staying true to its formative origins. Rodriquez argues that a "colour-blind ideology," one that sees race as irrelevant (even with the best intentions of 'equality'), can perpetuate the issues associated with racial marginalization by implying that they do not exist: "it provides those with more racial power the discursive resources to decontextualize cultural objects from the histories and experiences from whence they came" (2006:663). Hip-hop's focus on aspects of the 'black experience', whether glamorizing poverty and crime, pointing to them as problems, or celebrating the ability to be rid of them, is something that belongs to people who actually experience it. The culture has always remained a "shared experience of marginalization through various forms of discrimination, violence, poverty and hardship" (Malone & Martinez 2010:542): it is not justified for people who do not identify with this to pretend that they do, to try to take this sense of hardship, and accomplishmen: of overcoming it, as their own. Obviously, black communities are not the only groups that face these hardships, so whether this means hip-hop should remain strictly within black culture is contestable. Shad proposes that hip hop "was definitely born out of specific communities and circumstances that should always be privileged and respected by others that engage in it," and that, "the key to creative participation without appropriation is respecting the people and stories at the heart of a culture while remaining creatively open to new ideas and a range of influences" (letter to author, December 2, 2012). Perhaps the answer to this question, then, is that a listener must simply understand what his or her relationship to the music is. For me, a white person from an upper middle class background, I can legitimately appreciate hip-hop for its artistry, and through it try to gain a better understanding of the racial issues of oppression it discusses. I cannot, however, legitimately identify with the artist's specific struggles and accomplishments like many can. I cannot take elements of hip-hop in this way and claim them as my own, but I can appreciate and respect them for what they are and who they belong to.

So, in some ways, cultural appropriation can decrease the agency of hip-hop artists to fulfill the purposes widely considered important and foundational; however, it also creates a different kind of agency. It opens the genre up to discourse about different issues, those that do not apply exclusively to black communities, or other marginalized ethnic groups. White rappers like Eminem and Macklemore use hip-hop to speak against disenfranchisement as well. Macklemore's song Same Love, mentioned previously, altrough advocates the legalization of gay marriage: "no freedom 'til we're equal, damn right I support it." He does this while placing homosexuality specifically in the context of hip-hop culture: "If I was gay, I'd think hip-hop hates me." He points to homophobia in wider American society, and then opens the conversation within in hip-hop culture, allowing hip-hop to fight an injustice that it has often perpetuated. Macklemore also expresses, in his song A Wole, his struggles with feeling inauthentic speaking against black oppression as a white rapper: "don't get involved if the cause isn't mine, white privilege, white guilt it the same damn time. So we just party like it's 1999, celebrate the ignorance while these kids keep dylo"." In addition to these new opportunities in discussion of issues, the cultural appropriation of hip-hop also allows it to reach a wider audience it would not otherwise reach.

This ties closely with my next question: does the commodification of hip-hop, making it all about and all for money, decrease the agency of the artist? As Bourgois famously discusses in his ethnography of East Harlem in the 1980s and 90s, the irony that the mainstream "recuperates and commercializes" intrect culture (2003:8), culminates most in hip-hop culture. In some ways, mainstream hip-hop packages and sells poverty to the wealthy. Further than this, it has become an effective strategy for the amassment of wealth, not just by artists, but by record labels, producers, and the like. Balaji Murali argues that, "artists are commodified, [...] and ultimately sold to audiences" (2009:22), which can be limiting, particularly in the construction of identity for black males (2009). This identity is demonstrated by tuccessful hip-hop artists, sometimes referred to as "moguls." Smith describes the hip-hop mogul as one who rises to the top of the hip-hop industry, reveling in the success he has created despite the odds being stacked against him (2003:82-83). Smith explains that this is expressed in the hip-hop mogul's pride in the litalousy he incurs through success; moguls "flaunt their rise from among the ranks of the downtrodden by

making public displays of their newly begotten wealth. For the mogul, jealousy, envy, and hatred from the crowd are merely rites of passage; to be the object of such "hatred" merely serves to crystallize his essential charisma [...]" (Smith 82). This also speaks to the competitive spirit between rappers: each is striving to become the most successful, or most authentic, or most accomplished. This sentiment is present in countless rap songs, such as Jay-Z's Dirt Off Your Shoulder: "all the rappers be hatin', off the track that I'm makin', but all the hustlers they love it just to see one of us make it."

So, does this commodification weaken hip-hop's potential? Dowdy argues that underground hiphop is "a necessary corrective to a largely insipid, corporate-controlled mainstream hip hop obsessed with wealth and individualism. It is also a return to principles that nurtured the culture in its early yearscommunity-building, direct participation, and live performance" (2007:89). The agency of the artist decreases particularly when those in control of the industry are not from within the hip-hop community: artists must play to the mainstream and often are not free to express what they want, how they want to. Certainly, mainstream hip-hop tends to place less value on things like the intelligence of the artist (Jenkins 2011:1240). Jenkins argues that, "the failure to acknowledge truly talented hip-hop artists as intelligent demeans both the art production and the artist" (Jenkins 1240). While this is true, the commodification of hip-hop, like cultural appropriation, also allows for another kind of agency for artists. It can create a space in which popular artists have the power to contest dominant views and have their opinions received by a wider audience. For instance, Murali notes that rap music videos (associated more with mainstream hiphop than underground) allow artists to contest stereotypes by presenting "alternate constructions of Black male identity" (2009:22). Further, the kind of success that the hip-hop mogul creates is, in a nuanced way, the ultimate use of individual agency in the face of an oppressive power structure; successful hip-hop artists have essentially made millions off of the culture that oppresses them. It is paradoxically both nuanced and in-your-face: the ultimate middle finger to oppression. Whether this is particularly socially constructive is questionable, but an undeniable sense of agency and deserved liberation is certainly there. Furthermore, as Shad notes, "these days the Jay-Zs, Dr. Dre's, Russell Simmons, etc. are becoming more

prevalent [as executives in the industry] which means that both the art and business of hip hop are increasingly falling within the control of the community itself" (letter to author, December 2, 2012).

So, the processes through which agency in hip-hop is created and used within the greater structure of the mainstream are intricate and complex. Cultural appropriation and commodification of hip-hop certainly can have negative effects on the agency of the hip-hop artist, particularly when one believes (as many do) that hip-hop should stay true to its foundational goals of inciting positive social change. And while there is much debate over the legitimacy of hip-hop's incorporation into white culture, as it can, in the context of a 'colour blind ideology,' be detrimental to the discourse around racism, it does allow for an uponing up of new and important social discourses. Most importantly, both forces provide different kinds of agency for artists. The forces of appropriation and commodification in the mainstream allow the hip-hop artist's message to be heard by a wider audience, and this facilitates their celebration of the fact that they have taken success out of the pockets of their oppressors.

References

Abe, Daudi

2009 Hip-Hop and the Academic Canon. Education, Citizenship and Social Justice 4(3) 263-272.

Bourdieu, Pierre

1977 Outline of a Theory of Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hourgois, Philippe

2003 In Search of Respect: Selling Crack in El Barrio. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Dowdy, Michael

2007 Live Hip Hop, Collective Agency, and "Acting in Concert", Popular Music and Society 30(1):75-91.

George, Nelson

1998 Hip Hop America. New York: Penguin Group.

Harkness, Geoff

2008 Hip Hop Culture and America's Most Taboo Word. Contexts 7(3):38-42.

lenkins, Toby S.

2011 A Beautiful Mind: Black Male Intellectual Identity and Hip-Hop Culture. Journal of Black Studies 42(8):1231-1251.

Malone, Christopher, and Martinez, George Jr.

2010 The Organic Globalizer: The Political Development of Hip-Hop and the Prospects for Global Transformation. New Political Science 32(4):531-545.

McWhorter, John

2008 All About the Beat; Why Hip-Hop Can't Save Black America. New York: Penguin Group. Murali, Balaji 2009 Owning Black Masculinity: The Intersection of Cultural Commodification and Self Construction in Rap Videos. Communication, Culture, and Critique 2(1):21-38.

Reyna, Christine, Brandt, Mark, and Viki, Tendayi G.

2009 Blame It On Hip Hop: Anti-Rap Attitudes as a Proxy for Prejudice. Group Processes and Intergroup Relations 12(3):361-380.

Rodriguez, Jason

2006 Color-Blind Ideology and the Cultural Appropriation of Hip-Hop. Journal of Contemporary Ethnography 35(6):645-668.

Roseberry, William

1982 Balinese Cockfights and the Seduction of Anthropology. Social Research 49 1013 1028.

Smith, Christopher Holmes

2003 T Don't Like to Dream About Getting Paid: Representations of Social Mobility and the Emergence of the Hip-Hop Mogul. Social Text 21(6):69-97.

West Papuan Self-Determination and Indonesian Sovereignty: The Conflicting Rights of United Nations Declarations

Jessie Tougas

Indonesia has signed two United Nations Declarations—the first on decolonization and the second on indigenous rights—that claim to give significant power to colonized and indigenous peoples. However, West Papuans have seen little in the way of the ability to exercise these rights, including the right to self-determination, without punishment or disregard. Yet somehow, the Indonesian state's authority over West Papua continues to be viewed by the international community as legitimate. Moreover, Indonesia has managed to strengthen its sovereignty by both signing the declarations and failing to recognize West Papuan self-determination. This situation highlights an inherent contradiction in the UN Declarations: a contradiction between indigenous self-determination and the sovereignty of the nation-state.

In this essay, I will examine how the UN Declarations of 1960 and 2007 reassert the rights of the nation-state at the expense of the rights of colonized and indigenous peoples through caveats, unequal implementation, a Westphalian-style process, and the assumption of authority. Furthermore, I reveal how these contending rights have an inverse relationship; that is, when one is strengthened, the other is neakened. Finally, I outline what this means for Indonesian sovereignty and West Papuan self-

The Favouring of Nation-State Sovereignty

On December 14, 1960, the United Nations General Assembly issued the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples. It proclaimed the "necessity of bringing to a speedy and unconditional end colonialism in all its forms and manifestations," and was built on the premise that "colonised peoples should be liberated from the racism and exploitation of European imperialism" (United Nations 1960). This was a weighty event for global politics; decolonization is what gives meaning to indigenous self-determination in international law, and this declaration has yet to be fully schieved. Nonetheless, its scope fell short of all the indigenous peoples who continued to be marginalized in nations that did not fall under the "Non-Self-Governing" label that the 1960 Declaration addressed (Keal 2007). After 25 years of drafting, the UN adopted another standard, this time a Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, on September 13, 2007. But as I will show, neither of these declarations helped to decolonize or empower the indigenous peoples of West Papua despite the fact that Indonesia signed on to both of them; in fact, they only reinforced the sovereignty of the Indonesian nation-state.

Explicit Caveats

The first point I will argue is that both the 1960 Declaration and the 2007 Declaration favoured the rights of the nation-state over the rights of colonized and indigenous peoples. For one, neither declaration is legally binding, meaning that the declared rights were never meant to be enforced (United Nations 1960). Thus, it would be norms, not laws, which would protect subjects from exploitation. The closest the United Nations came to enforcing one of the declarations was when it established The Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence of Colonial Countries and Peoples (i.e. the Special Committee on Decolonization or the Committee of 24), which "annually reviews the list of Territories to which the [1960] Declaration is applicable and makes recommendations as to its implementation" (United Nations 2012). Interestingly, Indonesia is one of the members of the Committee but it clearly does not consider West Papua as one of the applicable territories. In any case, the Committee merely establishes norms, not laws, and those norms often worked in the favour of the Committee members.

Moreover, the declarations explicitly state that the rights of colonized and indigenous peoples are inferior to those of the nation-state. The granting of rights to these people was a radical move, so the member states of the General Assembly took significant measures to "limit its impact" (Wesley-Smith 2007). The final articles of the 1960 Declaration include a useful caveat for existing colonial powers:

6. Any attempt aimed at the partial or total disruption of the national unity and the territorial integrity of a country is incompatible with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations.

- 7. All States shall observe faithfully and strictly the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the present Declaration on the basis of equality, nontinierference in the internal affairs of all States, and respect for the sovereign rights of all peoples and their territorial integrity (United Nations 1960).
- Likewise, Article 46 of the 2007 Declaration offers a similar caveat for nation-states;
- Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, people, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act contrary to the Charter of the United Nations or simulated as authorizing or encouraging any action which would dismember or impair, totally or in part, the territorial integrity or political unity of sovereign and independent States (United Nations 2007). These uniteles make it clear that sovereignty of existing states supersode the new rights expressed in the doctarations.

Unequal Implementation

The second argument I put forward is that the implementation of the 1960 Declaration was unequal and centered on the interests of existing nation-states. The second article of this declaration states that "all peoples have the right to self-determination; by virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development" (United Nations 1960).

However, different colonial powers honoured this right to differing degrees. For example, international pressures caused New Zealand to remove its colonial presence from Western Samoa, Cook Islands, Niue and Tokelau (Wesley-Smith 2007). Meanwhile, Pacific islands like Hawai'i and New Caledonia were exampt from pressures on the basis that the United States and France, respectively, had already offered than sufficient self-determination to choose their political status (Wesley-Smith 2007). Another route was followed by East Timor, formerly under Indonesian control.

When it achieved independence in 2002, it did so by claiming that it had never been able to exercise its right to political self-determination since the 1960 Declaration, implying a 42-year gap of illegitimate Indonesian sovereignty over the area (Wesley-Smith 2007).

The fact that the declaration was implemented unequally raises questions about the causes behind that inequality, and it is clear that those causes were wrapped up in the various interests, norms, and powers of existing nation-states. Wesley-Smith goes so far as to argue that even the outcomes of political self-determination—the choice between continued dependence, "free association", or full sovereigntywas primarily determined by the colonial power's interests (Wesley-Smith 2007). Nowhere is this clearer than in West Papua. Just a year after the first declaration, West Papuans declared sovereignty, but this went unrecognized by the United Nations and the Netherlands, which transferred administrative powers over West Papua to Indonesia in 1963 because of international pressures, specifically by the United States (Suter 2001). Further pressures led to the Act of Free Choice (i.e. the Penentuan Pendapat Rukyat or PEPERA) in 1969, which was meant to allow West Papuans to exercise their right to self-determination (Suter 2001). But they had been ill-represented in the vote, and some have come out to admit that they had been energed to vote a certain way, that is, to remain a province of Indenesia (Suter 2001). However, the Act of Free Choice-which some Papums now call the "Act of No Choice"-was used by the United Nations to legitimize and finalize Indonesian sovereignty over the area and the people living there (Suter 2001). As a result, any "additional" claim for West Papuan self-determination is seen as illegitimate by the UN (Suter 2001). In other words, they had their chance.

Westphalian Decolonization

My third argument lies in the fact that the whole decolonization process by the United Nations was wrapped up in a Westphalian-style political system and left no room for indigenous Papuan notions of governance. Modern nation-states are founded on a tradition of sovereignty based on the Peace of Westphalia treaties in 1648 and the United Nations is deeply entremelted in this tradition as it is composed in Westphalian nation-states that recognize one another's sovereignty. The Westphalian notion of invereignty was based on a need "to clarify lines of power by separating one people from another" and this need continues today in global politics (Brown 2007). But in most colonies—the island of New Guinea is no exception—the boundary lines were drawn arbitrarily and paid no consideration to the native populations (Ryniker 2001; Wesley-Smith 2007). So, if Papuans have a difficult time forming a cohesive ommunity with a shared national identity, it should not be of any real surprise. For the Dutch, however, the true obstacle behind the Papuans' inability to create a sovereign nation-state was their "strong functionation to accept authority" (Van den Brock and Szalay 2001). From the perspective of Western politics, West Papua would need an authoritative class of "Papuan cline" to form a successful nation-state; in it had none, it was doomed to fail (Van den Brock and Szalay 2001).

As West Papuans quickly found out, they would need to conform to modern, Western political norms to attain the freedom from Indonesian rule they wished for Papuan nationalists adopted a national flag, the Morning Star flag, which flew for the first time in 1942 (Rutherford 2012). They chose a skilled authority, Filep Karma, to lead the flag raising ceremony in 1998, which was accompanied by song (Rutherford 2012). They welcomed international attention in vocalizing the West

Papuan Proclamation of Independence on July 1, 1971 (Rutherford 2012). Furthermore, they took pains to carefully document human rights violations, which they knew was one of their only chances of convincing the United Nations to interfere in the internal affairs of the Indonesian nation-state (Rutherford 2012).

Interpretation of Authority

As a final argument for the aforementioned position, I argue that the very idea of the United Nations acting as a granter of rights to colonized and indigenous peoples only helps to reaffirm the sovereignty of nation-states. Each declaration assumes an authority that is greater than the Papuans' own declarations and proclamations. As a collective of various sovereign member states, the United Nations is placing the authority of nation-states over the authority of colonized and indigenous groups. In effect, they are saying: "With, and only with, our voices is your cause made legitimate." Of course, nation-states reserve the power to render any cause illegitimate as well.

This imperialist discourse comes from the notion that European colonization was not an act of invasion, but of discovery and development, which happened through a natural and legitimate process (Keesing 1992). From this discourse emerges the concept of a bestowal of territories back to indigenous peoples; while in the guise of a generous act, this "bestowal" only reinforces the idea that the land legitimately belonged to the state and that it is now in the power of the state to give it back.

Similarly, rights are not something that colonized and indigenous peoples always had or can now claim themselves, but must be granted to them by the superior nation-states who make up the United Nations.

Meanwhile, West Papuans are still waiting for their rights to be "granted" them and their lands to be "bestowed" upon them.

The Inverse Relationship of Indigenous Peoples and Nation-States

Thus far I have shown how the UN Declarations have aggrandized the sovereignty of the nation-state at the expense of colonized and indigenous peoples. In the next section, I take this one step further and contend that it is impossible to maintain increases of power on one without diminishing the other. In my argument, indigenous self-determination and state sovereignty exist on a binary seesaw in which the elevation of one results in the debasing of the other. In other words, each is antithetical to the other.

This theory can help to explain the behaviour of the United Nations and the Indonesian nationstate. Several scholars have already noted that both UN Declarations promote "rights" that necessarily contradict the rights of member states of the United Nations (Keal 2007). One even argues that the very

prompte of indigenous self-determination pits "the legitimacy of hundreds of ancient nations of indigenous peoples against the asserted legitimacy of Westphalian states" (Morris 2003). Why, then, would the United Nations and the Indonesian state adopt these declarations? Are they acting in an absolute, self-sacrificing way? No. In fact, they are acting out of self-interest. As Paul Keal has asserted, imping indigenous peoples the right to self-determination as expressed in the 2007

The breation would work against the interests of the state (Keal 2007). This is so because the indigenous peoples would question and then challenge the legitimacy of the nation-state; this in turn would present a trian for both the individual state and state collectives like the United Nations as it led to the questioning and challenging of the entire "institution of sovereignty on which the states system is founded" (Keal 1007). In a way, then, by paying lip-service to indigenous rights in the form of the UN Declarations, the indianasian state seeks to placate West Papuans into recognizing its own sovereignty. Furthermore, it combines with other nation-states in placating the world's colonized and indigenous peoples and preventing a global crisis for state sovereignty.

Here I have shown how Indonesia can manage to sign both UN Declarations and continue to refuse in recognize West Papuan independence. The signing of the declarations speaks more to Indonesia's desire impress its domestic audience than to its actual political intentions. According to Danilyn Rutherford, it is this relationship between sovereignty and audience that "has shaped the history of colonialism and intionalism in West Papua" (Rutherford 2012). Like the colonial officer in the story "Shooting an Itephant", Indonesian officials, even high-ranking ones, are "absurd puppet[s] pushed to and fro" by the will of others (Orwell 1936). However, these "others" include not only local indigenous populations, but international audiences as well (Rutherford 2012). By signing on to an international declaration, even without the intention of upholding it, Indonesia impresses both its "natives" and the other members of the General Assembly. This act contributes to the recognition of its legitimate sovereignty at an international level.

This provides some insight into why Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the United States eventually reversed their votes on the 2007 Declaration from "no" to "yes" to render it a consensus document (Richardson 2010). Each originally expressed serious concerns with its implications, some stating that it contradicted their established constitutions (Ibbitson 2010). This might include indigenous peoples claiming land that now belongs to other people, or indigenous peoples seeking to disregard national laws or secede completely (Keal 2007). Others argued that it was merely an "aspirational document" full of "empty promises and rhetoric", "political correctness", or "a kind of mushy, feel-good multilateralist gesture" (Canada Votes "No" as UN Native Rights Declaration Passes 2007). Ultimately, however, each state responded to domestic and international pressures and signed the nonbinding agreement, convinced that it could not be used to bring about their demise (Ibbitson 2010; Richarson 2010).

The Questioned Legitimacy of the Indonesian Nation-State

Nevertheless, the situation in West Papua did not quite amount to what some hoped. The
Indonesian state's efforts to impress its domestic and international audiences have somewhat failed.

Although it strategically followed many political norms, it forgot or ignored other important norms to
ensure its survival. For instance, substantial human rights abuses have given reason for both West Papuans
and other members of the United Nations to question the legitimacy of Indonesia's sovereignty over the
area (Rutherford 2012). A similar situation occurred in East Timor, when the United Nations held a
referendum in 1999 to give the East Timorese population a choice to secede from Indonesia (Suter 2001).

However, unlike the case with West Papua, several countries criticized Indonesia's
original occupation of East Timor, so its claim over the area was already significantly weaker (Suter
2001). For the international community to support West Papuan independence "would mean reversing [its]
original position" (Suter 2001). Moreover, internationally recognized sovereignty gives Indonesia "a
monopoly over the legitimate use of force", rendering human rights abuses a little more palatable, or

when more easily ignored, in the eyes of the United Nations (Rutherford 2012).

On the other hand, I contend that the Indonesian occupation (and Dutch occupation, for that matter)

West Papua was never legitimate. This argument is nothing new, especially for West Papuans and other

what was been repeated over

and never by immunerable subalterns: "Who are you, the government, to come here and tell me that I can't

follow the customs of my ancestors, live on the land of my ancestors, follow the laws of my ancestors?

Who gave England [or the Netherlands or Indonesia] the right to come here and rule us according to their

laws and against ours?" (Keesing 1992). Even according to Europe's own political theory and

customers—such as using time (i.e. history, museums, libraries, the "past") to justify the occupation and

latinusion of a particular space—colonial occupation is flawed and proves to be an embarrassment

(Bander 2001).

If this is truly the case, then there are serious implications for Indonesia and the United Nations. I

If this is truly the case, then there are serious implications for Indonesia and the United Nations. I

If this is truly the case, then there are serious implications for Indonesia and the United Nations. These documents, while claiming to extend power to colonized and indigenous peoples,

the derived that power from an illegitimate source. The imperialist nation-states at the forefront of the

little Nations were never legitimate occupiers of colonies to begin with, so they do not have the

little Nations were never legitimate occupiers of colonies to begin with, so they do not have the

little to "give" them back. Moreover, contrary to what the UN Declarations insist,

little tates cannot be trusted to act in the interests of colonized and indigenous peoples. Thus, as long as

the United Nations is solely composed of nation-states, it cannot realistically champion their proposed

rights" of colonized and indigenous peoples beyond paying lip-service as it has already

them. Consequentially, West Papuan nationalists should not seek authority from Indonesia or the United

little to obtain independence.

Uninclusion

This essay has clearly shown how the United Nations Declarations of 1960 and 2007, despite

their claims, fail to help West Papuans. I have explored how the declarations were state-centric and only served to reinforce state sovereignty over indigenous rights with four distinct methods. Moreover, I have also shown that the elevation of Indonesian sovereignty necessarily results in the devaluation of West Papuan rights, and vice versa. Finally, I argued that Indonesia's asserted authority over West Papua and the United Nations' state-centrism are illegitimate and thus, West Papuans should not seek their authority for independence.

However, many questions are left unanswered. Where should West Papuans look for authority? Why do subaltern populations seek authority from the United Nations in the first place? What would international politics look like if the legitimacy of nation-state sovereignty was rejected? Would the lives of colonized and indigenous peoples improve? Clearly, a substantial amount of additional research on these topics is needed—an amount that would overwhelm this short essay.

References

Brown, Michael F.

2007 Sovereignty's Betrayals. In Indigenous Experience Today. Marisol de la Cadena and Orin Stam, eds. Pp. 171–194. Oxford: Berg Publishers.

Canada Votes "No" as UN Native Rights Declaration Passes

2007 CBC News, September 13. http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/story/2007/09/13/canada indigenous.html, accessed December 1, 2012.

Ibbitson, John

2010 Ottawa Wins Praise for Endorsing UN Indigenous-Rights Declaration. The Globe and Mail, November 12. http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/ottawa-notebook/ottawa-winspraise-for-endorsing-un-indigenous-rights-declaration/article4081652/, accessedDecember 1, 2012. Keal, Paul

2007 Indigenous Self-Determination and the Legitimacy of Sovereign States. International Politics 44: 287–305.

Keesing, Roger M.

1992 Custom and Confrontation: The Kwaio Struggle for Cultural Autonomy, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Morris, Glenn

2003 Vine Deloria, Jr., and the Development of a Decolonizing Critique of Indigenous Peoples and International Relations. In Native Voices: American Indian Identity and Resistance. R. Grounds, G. Tinker, and D. Wilkins, eds. Pp. 97–154. Lawrence: University of Kansas Press.

Orwell, George

1936 Shooting an Elephant, New Writing,

Hardson, Valerie

2010 Obama Adopts U.N. Manifesto on Rights of Indigenous Peoples. The Washington Times, December 16. http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2010/dec/16/obama-adopts-un manifesto-on-rights-of-indigenous-/?page=all, accessed December 1, 2012

Mutherford, Danilyn

2012 Laughing at Leviathan: Sovereignty and Audience in West Papua, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Smiker, David

2001 A Hard Stone People: Social Relations and the Nation State in the Vaturanga District, Guadalcanal, Solomon Islands. University of British Columbia.

uter, Keith

2001 Indonesia: Independence for West Papua? Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists 57(3): 16-18.
United Nations

1960 United Nations Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples. Obchr.

2007 United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Ohchr.

2012 Committee of 24 (Special Committee on Decolonization). The United Nations and Decolonization. http://www.un.org/en/decolonization/specialcommittee.shtml, accessed December 17, 2012.

Wesley-Smith, T

2007 Self-determination in Oceania. Race & Class 48(3): 29-46,

Van den Brock, Theo, and Alexandra Szalay

2001 Raising the Morning Star: Six Months in the Developing Independence Movement in West Papua. Journal of Pacific History 36(1): 77-92.

Discourses of Agency in the Debate on Consumption: Towards an Agency of Strategy

Michele Morucci

Introduction

A recent academic worry in the field of consumption anthropology regards the effects of consumption patterns in our lives. With a kind of post-modern anxiety many scholars have sought to link consumerism and our seemingly insatiable desire for consumer commodities with various "evils" like isolation, anomic and environmental degradation (Snodgrass 2009:613; Trentmann 2009:210). The rise of this destructive and degraded consumerist lifestyle has been attributed to, among other things, the growing influence of corporations that exercise agency by "producing desire" through deceptive advertisement campaigns (Sklair 2010: 149; Trouillot 2001:129).

As a result, much debate in academia centers on the influence of corporations in 'constructing demand' and, thus, the ethnographic field of inquiry has become the 'advertising space'. Discourses of 'hegemony' have identified the influence of corporations in influencing consumption patterns. They attribute agency to corporations but downplay the agency of individuals in the advertisement to consumption process. Other discourses, instead, have suggested that influence of corporations is not hegemonic and give account to individual consumer agency in interpreting and negotiating the meanings of advertisements and in the process of selecting competing products. I contend that his academic debate, in the effort of finding the power-relation between agentive forces of 'influence' and 'resistance', misidentified where agency actually plays a role in the practices of individuals. By applying Bourdieu's practice theory I will examine the fundamental role of agency within the consumption practice of individuals. I examine the implications of this approach on the scholarly debate and on the issues that consumption is said to have created.

First I provide the theoretical foundation of my argument by defining my Bourdicuian approach of a practice theory. Next, I introduce the existing debate in consumption anthropology specifically where I identify one tradition that locates begemonic power in corporations and another that attributes to consumers in agentive approach. Finally I will problematize the present debate on agency from a Bourdicuian practice approach and suggest that once we look at individual practices of consumption, and not so much individual's agency in relation to corporations, we come do different conclusions than the post-modern sotions of consumption as something produced from above as well as the evils that it is associated with.

On the Theoretical Basis

The relevance of Bourdieu's theory of practice lies in the insight of the actual "lived experience[s]" of individuals (Bourdieu 1977:5). Through this theory we can position individuals as living in an ambivalent structure that predisposes them "to fulfill political functions of [strategy and] domination in and through tenformance" (emphasis added; thid 14). To position more precisely my view of agency through practice theory, I view Bourdieu's practice theory in opposition to Clifford Geertz's notion of the cultural "text" and differentiate it from Ortner's view of practice theory.

In his classical ethnography, Deep Play: Notes on the Balinese Cockfight, Geertz writes about the interpretation of culture that comes with the enactment and re-enactment of cockfights by Balinese tockfight participants (1973:450). What is important here is Geertz's view of culture as a "text" that, as it is "read and reread", realigns an individual's sensibility with the cultural ethos (Geertz 1973:450). Though this view of the "external collective text" may well represent the interaction between individuals and the broader tulture, we must recognize that this view makes individual action seem somewhat constrained by the cultural and textual forces (Geertz 1973:449). Bourdieu challenges this textual view for fixing individuals into "predetermined discourses and actions" as well as for viewing individual practices as "stoge-parts"

(1977:2). Instead, Bourdieu favors less strictly regulated structures that offer "unlimited scope for strategies" (1977:7). Geertz's notion of 'text' is particularly useful when aligned with discourses of 'hegemony' in the consumption scenario since they argue that advertisements act as 'texts' that influence inactive individuals without any interpretative process.

Ortner's practice theory seeks to answer the question of the relationship between the "structure of society and the culture on one side and the nature of human action on the other" through the notion of individual agency (Aheam 2001:55). In this approach to practice theory, individuals are attributed agency not only in order to resist but also to stand in "complicity with, accommodation to, or reinforcement of the status quo" (ibid:55). Thus, differently from Bourdieu's practice theory, which looks at the individual's strategic practices, Ortner's practice theory is centered on the question of agency in relation to the broader structure. Ortner's approach is then useful for representing non-hegemonic discourses where individual consumer practices work in opposition to or in accordance with corporate practices.

In my analysis of agency I also examine how certain narratives are employed in speech and how these are related to power. Here Foucault's theory of discourse is useful. Discourse can be defined as "a sublanguage, a manner of speaking linked to a particular social group or set of practices" (Snodgrass 2002:604). This concept will also be used to discuss how agency is talked about and defined by different scholars, which I refer to as 'discourse of agency'.

11. Consumption Debate: The Discourses of Agency

In the current debate between consumer and corporate agency the two main discourse employed are the hegemonic and the non-hegemonic. While the hegemonic discourse employs a 'textual' approach that does not recognize individual agency, in order to emphasize 'corporate oppression' (Snodgrass 2002:623), the non-hegemonic discourse employs Ortner's approach that sees mutual negotiations and resistance between individuals and corporations. Here I address them and later evaluate both approaches.

The hegemonic discourse of advertisements rests on the textual power of advertisements that "serve the transnational capitalist class" (Sklair 2010:152). More specifically, the hegemonic power of corporations is in the "creation of consumerist space" through the transformation of all public architecture into advertising (ibid:139). More than that, however, it is about creating a narrative that can "maximize consumption opportunities" (ibid:142). Similarly to Geertz's view of culture as a 'text', this hegemonic this course sees advertisements as "scripted spaces" where the reiteration of the message works as a "special affect that makes gentle repression [appear] as free will" (ibid:149). Moreover, what is evident in both Geertz and the hegemonic discourse is the passive view of individuals, as holding no active or discursive tole and as "actors" of the written script.

This 'hegemonic' view of impotent consumers has been challenged by McCabe and Malefyt. For them both consumers and corporations exert agentive power in what they call an "interactive" agentive relationship (McCabe and Malefyt 2010:252). Consumers have agency in "interpreting the meaning of the product, assessing whether the narratives expressed make sense in the context of their lives, in testing out hunds and in making purchasing decisions" (ibid:258). Hence, they claim that advertising is too simplistic to view advertising as a one-way media of persuasion telling consumers what to buy. Rather, from this view advertising is a dualistic process where consumers also act with agentive force in negotiating the meanings of the product in their interpretive process or meaning in advertisements. Individual consumers look at advertisements in the search for meaning that "could be used for the construction and performance of identity" (ibid:256). In other words, consumers live within particular life narratives that construct their identities. It is by finding a material and symbolic "correspondence" that the individual can signal his identity to others (ibid:255).

Other authors have expressed similar ideas on the negotiation over the meanings of advertisements.
Mazzarella sees "conflict and compromise" in everyday practices both within agencies and between agencies and clients (2003:27). Trouillot suggests similar ideas. Though he acknowledges that transnational corporations exert tremendous power in determining the "global production of desire" and "global consumption patterns", Trouillot also recognizes that individuals will nonetheless express resistance and contention in the establishment of these unified meanings. Just as Lila Abu-Lughod asserts that resistance should not be seen as "a reactive force somehow independent of or outside the system of power", these acts of resistance against global consumption patterns are manifestations of power imbued with individual agency (Abu-Lughod 1990:47). In all these approaches, individuals are given "agency" to resist the shifting meaning of commodities and the influence of dictated "textual" consumption patterns. The debate over the discourse of agency addressed the post-modern concerns about the problems that have caused consumerism and the evils that it carried with it. What remains problematic with this practice approach is that it fails to see the agency of individuals in the practice of consumption, which is, ironically, the moment that carries more agency for the individual in the overall realm of consumption.

Corporate agency is undoubtedly large and their influence powerful. Advertisements come out of weeks of work, which include ethnographic research on targeted consumers to determine their preferences and life narratives (McCabe and Malefyt 2010:250). However, although corporations may likely gain the desired interest from the targeted consumer, through well thought-out strategies and developed discourses, their success is not a "predetermined" (Bourdieu 1977; 7f), rather it is always subjected to risk and the possibility of a "failed campaign" (McCabe and Malefyt 2010:257).

Here I wish to make a break from the current debate and enter the lived experiences of the sultividuals through a Bourdicuian theory of practice. This is not done in order to understate the importance of power-relations between corporate and consumer agencies. Rather, it is to view corporate and consumer agencies as somewhat constitutive of each other; one lies in the production of meaning while consumer in the utilization and refushioning of that meaning. It is through this approach of consumer practice that a more upon view of individual consumer agency will be produced and a more thorough study of consumption and is affects will be possible.

Bourdiesian Practice Approach

Individual agency should not be seen merely in relation to consumption but rather in relation to the wilf. In other words, an individual has agency over his own representation of himself through his unsumption choices. What follows is that the careful and strategic advertisement discourses employed by importations, of embedding meanings in commodities, are employed by individuals in their daily lives for fact strategic purposes, and don't simply 'resist' or 'accommodate' corporate advertising practices. As Malefyt says, "branding becomes a valuable social currency" (2009:207). Moreover, is within and through his social currency, generated from corporate agency, that consumer agency is allowed to function freely brough the multitude ways of expressing the self through the meanings instilled in brands and commodities. Thus, on the one side, advertisements allow corporations to "imbue their brand with ideological associations of progress, innovation, and change" in order to align the brand's identity with the "lifestyle, values and limitity of the corresponding target consumer they aspire to attract" (Malefyt 2009:207). On the other, himself consumption of particular goods, individual agents are able to employ concrete boundaries makers an "ongoing and ubiquitous struggle to establish definitive worlds of reference, belonging and power" Mazzarella 2003:26).

III.

In a world always more embedded in webs of significant and symbolic brands and commodities, individual agency lies in the appropriate consumption choices for proper or desired identification. Thus, through a practice approach of individuals engaged in consumption we see how branded products are employed to fashion their identity. This works for their social advancement, whether through belonging to a group, signifying wealth or the like.

Bourdieu's practice theory can thus bring us away from a view of agency as acting against commodities and corporations and into an agency that sees commodities as a "social currency" for self-fashioning (Malefyt 2009:207), and self-advancement and therefore in competition with other individual agents (Snodgrass 2002:620). Once we come to examine individual consumption practices we can better understand how, in their daily lives, act and relate to brands and goods. This can be equated to how individuals live their lives thinking about how, what they buy, will change the representation of the self (Malefyt 2009:207), and not with how individuals think about their agency in relation to corporations. This should have several implications on how we see commodities, corporations and social issues attached to consumerism. I now discuss these themes.

Deeper Implications

What this view of agency reveals about consumption patterns is that the function of the commodity is more engrained in our social world and in our lives than some scholars recognize (Rudd 1997:58; Trentmann 2009:210). This may be a result not only of the creative and strategic uses it can be made of but also of the "physical attachment to things" that we feel (Rudd 1997:59; Trentmann 2009:211). Therefore, if consumption holds such a primary function in our lives and since it does allow for a great degree of potential agentive force through its' various strategic uses, then consumption should not be so simply discarded as an 'evil' practice as many critics suggest (Sklair 2010:152; Trentmann 2009:210). Nor can we

mistion that corporations impose, textually, meanings on individuals and thus that the begemonic powers of imporations should be quenched.

Therefore if we are to question consumption for its negative effects it has on society and the intronment, then we should look more into the practices that consumers hold in consumption and not naturally to the question of agency between corporations and consumers.

Conclusion

The purpose of this paper has been to evaluate recent post-modern critiques on consumption for its apparently "evil" effects as well as for its imposed desire by corporations. An initial approach that looked at the discourse of hegemony was made dubious, by applying Ortner's practice theory, because of the adividual agency present in the acts of negotiation and buying. In order to understand the deeper meaning of consumption for consumers, however, necessitated Bourdieu's practice theory approach, which revealed intricate rooting of consumption patterns in the strategies of our daily lives. This problematizes even another the simple claims that consumption should be "diminished" (Trentmann 2009:210). Moreover, in order to get at the roots of the actual issues that consumption is said to exacerbate, we should look further into the practices of consumption we are embedded in within a regime where consumption is the social turrency.

Heferences

Abu-Lughod, Lila

1990 The Romance of Resistance. American Ethnologist 17(1):41-55.

Abcam, Laura

2001 Wearing the Flower One Likes. In Invitations to Love: Literacy, Love

Letters, and Social Change in Nepal, Pp. 212-244. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.

Bourdieu, Pierre

1977 Outline of a Theory of Practice, Pp 1-30. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Geertz, Clifford

1973 Deep Play: Notes on the Balinese Cockfight. In The Interpretation of Culture, Pp. 412-454.
New York: Basic Books. (online book UBC Library)

Mazzarella, William

2003 Shoveling Smoke: Advertising and Globalization in Contemporary India. Durham and London, Duke University Press.

31

McCabe, Maryann; and Timothy de Waal Malefyt

2010 Brands, Interactivity and Contested Fields: Exploring Production and Consumption in Cadillac and Infinity Automobile Advertising Campaigns. Human Organization 69(3):252-262.

Rudd, Nancy Ann

1997 Cosmetic Consumption and Use Among Women. Journal of Ritual Studies 11(2):59-77.

Sklair, Leslie

2010 Iconic Architecture and the Culture-ideology of Consumerism. Theory, Culture & Society 27(5):135-159.

Snodgrass, Jeffrey G.

2002 A Tale of Goddesses, Money, and Other Terribly Wonderful Things: Spirit Possession, Commodity Fetishism, and the Narrative of Capitalism in Rajasthan, India. American Ethnologist 29(3):602-636.

Trentmann, Frank

2009 Crossing Divides: Consumption and Globalization in History, Journal of Consumer Culture 9(2):187-220.

Trouillot, Michel-Rolph

2001 The Anthropology of the State in the Age of Globalization; Close Encounters of the Deceptive Kind. Current Anthropology 42(1):125-138.

Waal Malefyt, Timothy de

2009 Understanding the Rise of Consumer Ethnography: Branding Technomethodologies in the New Economy. American Anthropologist 111(2):201-210.

Sustainable Development and the Canadian Mining Industry

Craig Turney

In the past decades there has been a widespread upsurge in criticism and activism against the perceived harm of the Canadian extractive industry. In response to the efficacy of its opponents "the discourse of sustainability has become an industry standard" (International Council on Mining and Metals 1005, 8). Critics have maintained that the mining industry's adoption of sustainability is an appropriation of amuline criticism in order to maintain positive public relations while avoiding any meaningful changes, tome of the industry's more trenchant critics have labeled sustainable development an oxymoron and torporate greenwashing" (Kirsch 2010).

It is the goal of this paper to provide an analysis of the discourse around sustainable development in uniting, which serves as a focal point in understanding the broader context of Canadian mining abroad. I aim to acknowledge the complexity of the issue, which is increasingly polarized into a prodevelopment/anti-development opposition (Seymoar 2011). I have split my analysis into three sections: first, I address how the globalization of opposition to mining has created a reputational, political and economic imperative for mining corporations to respect, at the least, the basic tenets of sustainability. Secondly, I summe the Canadian government's trend toward deregulation and neoliberal policies, which has had the intended effect of encouraging mineral exploration and exploitation while simultaneously decreasing the shifty of foreign states to effectively regulate Canadian corporations' actions. Thirdly, I look at how the industry has addressed the goal of "sustainable development" through voluntary principles such as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), greater links between mining firms and NGOs, and the funding of intearch bodies such as Mining, Minerals and Sustainable Development (MMSD) to study the impact of litting on communities and the environment. I conclude that these industry sponsored initiatives toward

self-regulation are insufficient to build a sustainable model of development which respects the needs of not just the investors, but the local community, the host state, and the environment.

What is Sustainable Development?

The topic of sustainability has become a major talking point in discussions of responsible mining amongst policy makers and activists alike; However, despite its near ubiquitous presence, there remains little consensus around its meaning (Kirsch 2010). One of the earliest formalizations which linked sustainability to development was published by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature which found that "for development to be sustainable, it must take account of social and ecological factors, as well as economic ones; of the living and nonliving resource base; and of the long term as well as short term advantages and disadvantages of alternative actions" (IUCN 1980; 1) This approach, which favoured environmental restrictions, was criticized on the grounds that it would impede Southern countries' ability to compete with their Northern neighbours' to whom these developmental hurdles are not as significant.

In response to these criticisms, a new "equity centered" definition arose out of a United Nations initiative to replace the IUCN's definition: the 1987 Brantland Commission (Reed 2002). The commission defined sustainable development as "a system of development that meets the basic needs of all people without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own life-sustaining needs" (United Nations 1987 in Laurence 2010) The revised definition of sustainable development which arose out of the Bruntland Commission is significant in its removal of any reference to ecology, effectively re-framing the issue of sustainability as primurily an economic concern (Negri 1999). The definition has subsequently been adopted as the standard by government and industry. Mining companies are now able to frame development and business projects, particularly after mine closure, as sustainable development initiatives (Kirsch 2010).

Oxymoron?

Some critics have argued that minerals and metals are a non-renewable resource and that the term sustainable development" in the mining industry is used to obscure the social, environmental and economic form that the industry affects on communities where it operates. In a wholesale condemnation the industry law been labeled a "harm industry" (Benson and Kirsch 2010); sustainable development in mining has been affed greenwashing (Hart 2012) and more definitively, a corporate oxymoron (Kirsch 2010). Unlike ariculture or forestry, mining corporations cannot replace the resources that they extract from the earth. In the type the industry faces the additional challenge of a long-term decline in ore grades; the easily-developed higher grade deposits are mined first, leaving behind lower grade deposits (Mudd 2008). As the grade theorem more one must be processed which results in more tailings, the use of more energy, water, and himicals. The energy and resource expenditure is significant: based off of 2008 figures the production of we kilogram of gold requires about 141 kg of cyanide, 691,000 L of water, releases 11.5 tons of greenhouse mace and creates 2000 tonnes of tailings and waste rock (Modd 2008).

Despite the apparent incompatibility of the two terms, it is important to recognize both regional and aliabal dependencies on mining. An effective and robust model of sustainable development should avoid the simplifying binaries of development versus conservation. As Ballard and Banks (2003, 299) argue, this tinguishing or singling out ecological from other community interests is a curiously archaic argument, after current anthropological thought on the essential entanglement and integration of the different facets of social life previously conceived of as distinct institutions." To view sustainability solely as an antiferonmental imperative would be to make the same mistake the Bruntland Commission's narrow conomic framing of the issue makes. I contend that in the extractive industry sustainability and development are not necessarily antithetical (Ballard and Banks 2003, Horowitz 2006, Laurence 2010).

Environmental and Indigenous Opposition

Indigenous opposition has played a central role in pressuring mining companies to recognize sustainable development. In 1989 the International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention169 was adopted. which mandated that indigenous communities be consulted prior to development. It is worth noting. however that the Canadian government has not ratified ILO 169, and lacks any mechanism to enforce the consultation process abroad. The 1990s was the UN "Decade of Indigenous Peoples" and the advent of new media such as the internet has enabled activists to spread awareness of bad mining practices. All these factors have contributed to the ability of indigenous campaigns to pressure international mining companies. and in some cases even shut down mines. Toronto Ventures Incorporated's (TVI) Canatuan Gold Project on the Filipino island of Mindanao is a prime example of indigenous activism which shut down a major mine. A controversy grose after TVI hosted an information session for the community and had everyone who attended sign an attendance sheet. This signed sheet was later used as a document by TVI to show that they had community support for their project. This sparked community outrage, and in the face of growing opposition the mine was guarded by a private paramilitary security force (Holden, Nadeau, and Jacobson 2011). The International Centre for Human Rights and Democratic Development, an independent Canadian institution, ran a Human Rights Impact Assessment and concluded that the mine failed to provide the community of Subanon the right to self-determination, human security, and an adequate standard of living Various NGOs enabled community representatives to fly to Geneva to participate in the UN's Working Group on Indigenous Populations, and shortly after to a Canadian Parliamentary hearing on the TVI mine in Ottawa. These combined efforts of indigenous opposition and international NGO support resulted in the withdrawal of the mine's major investor, the Commonwealth Development Corporation (Holden, Nadeau, and Jacobson 2011). The case of the Canatuan Gold Project is just one example of effective indigenous activism in collaboration with NGOs; the globalization of opposition to mining and the recognition of

indigenous rights has made consultation with indigenous groups an imperative for mining companies (limkins 2004).

It would be a mistake, however, to equate indigeneity with the conterglobalization or invironmentalist movements. The complexity of indigenous agendas is often overlooked by international BCOs which advocate a simple resolution in line with their agency's own objectives. The use of indigenous exements as actors in NGO agendas has tended to reduce indigenous movements to the binary opposition either development or the environment (Whitmore 2004, Kirsch 2007). For the local community at the are of mine development the situation is more complex. On the one hand, there is the community desire for Evelopment in the form of medical, postal and educational services, infrastructure such as roads and power wes, and the creation of local jobs. On the other hand, there is the need to preserve the environment and more that the development brought by the mine outlast the short life span of the extractive process. bidigenous groups have advocated a range of alternatives to outright opposition ranging from the promotion amaller scale projects, more stringent environmental practices, economic compensation, and greater imployment opportunities for members of the local community, to simply having more control over the evelopment process. The trend for NGOs to highlight local struggles as part of global international ampaigns has been characterized as "globalization from below" (Falk 1993) for its appropriation of indigenous advocacy into a global framework of environmentalism and counter-globalization. Too often the MGO agenda is misrepresentative of the very groups it claims to speak on behalf of.

Francomic Necessity

Proponents of "sustainable development" have pointed to growing economic pressures which

promote sustainable practice, namely the rapid growth of Socially Responsible Investing (SRI) and

prologically conscious financial standards such as the Equator Principles and the Dow Jones Sustainability

World Indexes. These structures have contributed to making the corporate image paramount, but do they have the power to affect the deeper policies of mining companies, beyond corporate image?

SRI is a large and rapidly growing industry, the worth of the 2008 Canadian SRI market was estimated at \$609.2 billion (Cournans 2011). SRI companies are similar in structure to traditional investment fund companies, the key difference being that SRI corporations seek to include social and environmental good with financial return. But what is socially and environmentally good, and who has the power to decide? The language of these principles is respleadent with the language of sustainable discourse. The Equator Principle requires that projects "are developed in a manner that is socially responsible and reflect sound environmental management practices" yet does not define what constitutes sound environmental management practices or social responsibility (Laurence 2010, 91). It is worthwhile to note that the Equator Principles are voluntary and non-binding and that "many investors, particularly in developing countries, are not required to adhere to them." (Laurence 2010, 280).

Beyond using the language of sustainable development, SRI companies must be seen by their shareholders to be using their economic leverage to affect change in the more environmentally or socially harmful companies that they hold. The Canadian mining companies Placer, Alean, Barrick and Goldcorp have all had shareholder resolutions filed by Canadian SRI companies after facing international scrutiny from intense local opposition (Coumans 2011). These resolutions open up space for dialogue between shareholders and corporation but leave the affected communities notably absent from the remediation process. The exclusion of local communities in the shareholder resolution process results in resolutions which do not reflect the objectives of the community, and often "place additional burdens on a community in struggle and even compromise its own efforts to achieve environmental, economic, and social goals."

(Coumans 2011, 38). Aside from the lack of adequate consultation in SRI operations, there is a fundamental limit to what systems of economic incentives and pressures can achieve in the regulation of industry. SRI

companies are imbedded in a capitalist framework which commits them first to their shareholders, and absolves them of any direct responsibility for the affected communities they claim to represent. It is inconceivable for an SRI company to intentionally pass a shareholder resolution which would result in an accommic loss for their shareholders.

Aside from the formalizations of SRI, mining companies have another financial incentive to engage local communities. A determined community in opposition to a mine can stall or even shut down a project, mixing the company millions of dollars. Companies now speak of the requirement for a "social license to uperate" (Rajaram et al. 2005, Laurence 2010). If a mining company can incorporate practices which engage the community and generate support for their operations then costly and problematic confrontations can be twoided. The issues with this method of regulation is that, as we have seen, the efficacy of indigenous and local opposition is directly contingent on the ability of NGOs and global movements to generate enough international attention to pressure an SRI company into filing a stakeholder resolution. Financial incentives and shareholder led initiatives are plausible methods to address the worst instances of corporate social and onvironmental behaviour but are limited by the ability of cash-strapped NGOs to elevate local struggles to in international level (Ballard and Banks 2003, 291).

Regulatory Environment

In order to understand the nature of sustainable development in the mining industry, it is essential to understand the broader regulatory context in which Canadian corporations operate. The Canadian mining testastry is the largest in the world and a major component of the country's economy: in 2003 the Canadian mining and mineral processing industry was worth \$40.8 billion. Canadian-based mining companies imprised 60% of all mining companies in the world that spent more than \$113,000 on explorations in 2004. Over half of the world's public mining companies are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and Canadian listed firms manage around 4200 projects abroad (Mining Works facts and figures). Canada is not only a

global leader in mining in terms of scale of operation, Canadian mining companies are frequently involved in, and instigate community conflict and practice under notoriously poor environmental standards. A report from the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada was found that Canadian mining companies were responsible for a third of 171 high-profite Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) violations between 1999 and 2009 (Engler 2012). Despite the glaring issues with extractive companies operating abroad, the Canadian government holds considerable interest in maintaining the profitability of the mining sector and has taken substantial initiatives in the recent decades to promote mining interests. As a whole, particularly in the recent decade, the policies adopted by the Canadian government have tended toward the protection of foreign investments rather than addressing the social and environmental harms of the mining companies.

Latin America contains some of the richest deposits in the world and is a prime case study of Canada's mining policies; over a third of expenditures on mineral exploration in the region are conducted by Canadian mining companies (Gordon and Webber 2008). The introduction of Canadian capital to the region is paralleled by the neoliberal structural adjustments instigated by the World Bank and IMF in the 1980s and 90s (Gordon and Webber 2008, 112). The Canadian government has played an active role in the neoliberal restructuring through free trade agreements such as the Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA) and has signed Foreign Investment Protection Agreements (FIPA) with nearly two dozen countries in order to protect the rights of Canadian companies abroad. A majority of the FIPA agreements have been signed with Latin America countries and give Canadian corporations the right to sue signatory governments for perceived failures to meet their investment agreements. To date Canadian mining companies have pursued three arbitrations under FIPA, the most notable being Vanessa Ventures' claims against the Costa Rican government's 2002 law banning open pit mining.

The efforts of Canadian mining interests, with the aid of the Canadian government, has been largely successful in restructuring the mining codes to favour Canadian interests over indigenous people, the

Lessundra and labour rights in Latin American countries such as Chile, Argentina, Bolivia, Peru, and Lessundra (Gordon and Webber 2008, 69). Canadian intervention is not limited to structural adjustment brought about by investment agreements; projects touted as "development" have played a significant role in himishing foreign states' capacity to control Canadian corporations. Colombia's new mining code, which may built with technical and financial assistance from the Canadian International Development Agency (IDA), "guarantees private sector control over natural resources, even if this means the foreible removal of the existing population from certain areas of the countryside" (Hristov 2005). In 2002, CIDA made a 9.6 william dollar investment in Peru, ostensibly to provide technical assistance and improve the administration (the country's Ministry of Energy and Mines. While in effect these neoliberal reforms have "facilitated the extract of foreign capital in these new mining projects while simultaneously dismantling regulatory rightes designed to protect labor, the environment, and the rights of persons displaced or otherwise affected mining" (Kirsch 2007, 305). Communities affected by mining projects are therefore left without state support, and are dependent on the competence and ethics of unregulated mining companies (Reed 2002, 366).

Beyond facilitating neoliberal structural adjustments, the Canadian government promotes mining through its steadfast refusal to adopt any environmental or human rights standards for corporations outside of Canada; there is no existing legislature which could prosecute mining companies for transgressions throad. There was an attempt to pass An Act Respecting Corporate Accountability for the Activities of Idlining, Oil or Gas Corporations in Developing Countries (Bill C-300), which would have given the invernment the authority to investigate complaints against Canadian companies operating abroad. If a impany were found guilty of human rights or environmental abuse, the mine would lose the support of Canadian Embassy officials and publicly funded agencies. The mining industry responded to this bill with a little of visits from "registered lobbyists representing Barrick Gold, Vale Canada, IAMGOLD and the

Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada" (Engler, 2012). The bill was defeated in 2010 in a vote of the House of Commons. As mentioned before, the government has not ratified ILO 169 which mandates free and informed consent from the indigenous community before a project can proceed.

Industrial Policy

The Canadian government, at the behest of the extractive industry, has facilitated the exploitation of resources from the global south at the expense of the foreign state, indigenous groups, and the environment. Yet the globalization of resistance has maintained sustainable development as a reputational and economic imperative for Canadian mining companies. In lieu of government policy, the responsibility to address these issues has been passed to the industry which has answered the call through the widespread adoption of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). CSR is a broad set of voluntary principles which seeks to balance the demands of communities and the environment with the corporation's ability to produce a profit (Heledd 2004). The Mining Association of Canada's Towards Sustainable Mining (TSM) initiative is an oft-cited example of "best practice" CSR. The issue with TSM is that it is concerned with developing management strategies rather than setting concrete standards. The tailings management performance indicators are: "management policy and commitment; management system development; assigned accountability and responsibility; annual management review; and an operation, maintenance and surveillance (OMS) manual." (TSM Assessment Protocol 2011, 2) The initiative does not set any standards with regards to key issues such as water quality or tailings disposal (Mining Watch 2012).

The other approach the mining industry has taken in response to criticism is the establishment of research bodies, namely Mining, Minerals and Sustainable Development (MMSD) and the International Council of Mining and Metals (ICMM). Based in London and founded by some of the largest companies in the industry MMSD was widely criticized by indigenous groups, NGOs and mine affected communities (Whitmore 2004). It is the stated goal of the organization "to build a platform of analysis and engagement

in orgoing cooperation and networking between all communities of interest." (MMSD 2007) However, the organization's framework, objectives and structure are all determined by the industry: even the members of the ostensibly independent Assurance Board, whose mandate it is to monitor the process, were handpicked by industry (2004, 310). The primary objective of the MMSD is to link mining to sustainable development and create a platform from which the industry can effectively engage its critics. Accordingly, the MMSD as failed to generate meaningful dialogue between companies and local communities (Whitmore 2004). In a own reports MMSD noted a lack of trust to be an impediment to its process (Moody 2001).

Conclusions

In summary, the discourse of sustainable development has entered into the mining industry because it has become an economic and reputational imperative. This imperative is largely the result of indigenous opposition operating in conjunction with NGO assistance and a growing body of international modards. The Canadian government has not mirrored the international trend to regulate and set basic standards of operation for industries operating abroad. Instead the government has actively pursued industry interests in the form of free trade agreements, the restructuring of foreign country's mining codes, and diregulation. The government has mandated self-regulation in the mining industry as the sole means of addressing the growing issue of human rights and environmental abuses by Canadian mines operating abroad.

Sustainable development has manifested in a myriad of industry initiatives from the broad tenets of USR to the funding of environmental and social research bodies such as the MMSD. The issue is that these suff-regulated mechanisms lack transparency, and are controlled by mining companies who are positioned to the top of a global hierarchy. As Whitemore identified it, "the key question is, who has the right to make the decision over the future of communities: companies, governments, NGOs or the communities thurselves?" (Whitemore 2004, 312). There is a fundamental flaw with a system which claims to be

"sustainable" but requires community and indigenous opposition to be so vehement and embattled as to draw international attention before its mechanisms can operate. This is not to suggest, as some critics have (Kirsch 2010, Benson and Kirsch 2010), that sustainable development is oxymoronic and incompatible with the extractive industry. Rather, I contend that a system of genuine community consultation, which respects the tenets of informed and prior consent, could resolve some of the longstanding issues in the current, industry dominated consultation process. The power structures which pervade the industry and perpetuate conflict cannot be challenged through self-regulation. A process of meaningful consultation by the extractive industry with local communities requires a mediating body, a role which the government of Canada would find itself in a position to fill if free trade rhetoric were to be abandoned.

References

Benson, Peter and Stuart Kirsch

2010 Capitalism and the Politics of Resignation. Current Anthropology. 15(4):459-486 Engler, Yves

2012 The Ugly Canadian Digs In. The Tyee. http://m.thetyee.ca/Books/2012/11/21/Ugly-Canadian/21 Nov 2012.

Gordon, Todd & Webber, Jeffery R.

2008 Imperialism and Resistance: Canadian mining companies in Latin America. Third World Quarterly. 29(1):63-87

Hart, Ramsey

2012 Green Mining or Green Washing? Corporate Social Responsibility and the Mining Sector in Canada. Mining Watch Canada.

Horowitz, Leah

2004 Mining and Sustainable Development, Journal of Cleaner Production, 14:307-308 Holden, Nadeau, and Jacobson

2011 Exemplifying accumulation by dispossession: mining and indigenous peoples in the Philippines. Human Geography. 93 (2):141-161

Hristo, Jasmin

2005 Indigenous struggles for land and culture in Cauca, Colombia. Journal of Peasant Studies. 32(1):110.

Jenkins, Heledd

2004 Corporate social responsibility and the mining industry: conflicts and constructs. Corporate Social - Responsibility and Environmental Management. 11(1):23-24

Kirsch, Stuart

2010 Sustainable Mining, Dialectical Anthropology, 34:87-93

I surence, David

2011 Establishing a sustainable mining operation: an overview. Journal of Cleaner Production. 19:278-284

Lumieux, Andre

2005 Canada's global mining presence. Canadian Minerals Yearbook

Mining Association of Canada

2007 Facts and Figures

http://www.miningworks.mining.ca/miningworks/media_lib/documents/Facts_Figures_20 07_E.pdf

Mining Association of Canada

2001 Tailings Management Assessment Protocol

http://www.hudbayminerals.com/files/doc_downloads/TSM%20TAILINGS%20PROTOC OL%20December%202011.pdf

Moody R.

2001 Who Pays the Cost of Mining? Minerals and Communities. http://www.minesandcommunities.org/Charter/sleepwalk1.htmO.

Mudd Gavin

2008 Sustainable Mining - An Oxymoron? The Chemical Engineer

Meri, Antonio

1999 The specter's smile. In Ghostly denurcations: A symposium on Jacque Derrida's specters of Marx, ed. Michael Sprinkler 5–16. New York: Verso.

Reed, Darryl

2002 Resource extraction industries in developing countries, Journal of Business Ethics 39: 199-226.

Seymour, Nola Kate

1998 Mining and sustainable development: Options for Canada in the Americas. Canadian Foreign Policy Journal. 6(1):127-132.

Whitmore, Andy

2006 The Emperor's New Clothes: Sustainable Mining? Journal of Cleaner Production 14:309-314.

Shifting Approaches to HIV and AIDS Epidemics in the Pacific

Rachel Zuliniak

Since its discovery in the 1980's HIV and AIDS have plagued the globe. In the early 2000s this problem began to extend to Papua New Guinea and other areas of the Pacific Islands at an alarming rate. Global health organizations and governments in and around the Pacific Islands began publishing projections and presenting their solutions to the epidemic. This paper aims to open a dialogue about global and governmental approaches to HIV and AIDS in the Pacific Islands. It also attempts to clucidate some of the shortcomings of the institutional model by juxtaposing it with anthropological literature on the subject. Plans for this type of action must be regionally and culturally specific and consider local epistemologies rather than implementing a dominant discourse. Recent changes seem to be yielding positive results but there must be a continuation of these efforts to ensure complete success.

Karen McMillan and Heather Worth (2011) warn against applying a single model across regions, even in the case of their data, which in itself acts as a crucial point for this discussion. (McMillan and Worth 2011:315). It lays the groundwork for criticisms that can be made about international and governmental organizations regarding their approach to HIV and AIDS. One of the highly contested points involves the notion of awareness and the institutional spread of "awareness" about HIV and AIDS. The World Health Organization (2005) reported that some of the major issues when trying to deal with the HIV epidemic in Papua New Guinea are issues "...related to stigma and discrimination and lack of awareness about HIV." (World Health Organization 2005:2). Similarly Richard Eves' (2012) cites a 2005 report by UNAIDS (2005) that, boasts "...collaboration and working with, rather than against, local practice," (Eves 2012:61) and adaptation of materials to local and "...relevant epidemiological, economic, social and cultural contexts..." (2012:61). However, one of the key objectives was, in this case as well, to promote awareness (2012:61).

sectors that may be contributing to the spread of HIV and AIDS and/or are inhibiting condom usage. For sutance, in areas such as Vanuatu and Tonga where awareness of HIV and AIDS is high they noted the margence of a disturbing trend. While the youth interviewed felt positively about condom usage they still and them infrequently at best (McMillan and Worth 2011:317). In this region collected data was "...strongly mirked by a disjuncture between attitudes towards, and beliefs about, the efficiery and usefulness of adoms (2011:317). They found that external factors such as "...peer and community attitudes to condom and condom users..." (2011:317) were combined with "...complex of social expectations, gender norms and cultural values," (2011:317) to create an environment where condom use was not a viable option. While may not be the mirror of the situation in other areas, such as Papua New Guinen, it broadens our aderstanding of cultural explanations and how stigma and discrimination might be more culpable than wareness per se. In a contrary study conducted by Agathe Simonia, Jennifer Bushee and Amélie Courcaud (1011) in the highlands of West Papua they argue that awareness is a key factor for this area. Among a withland group known as the Western Dani they postulate that the extreme remoteness of the area leaves •• Illagers with "...very little HIV knowledge and information." (Simonin, Bushee, and Courcaud 2011:S186). The caveat and arguably the difference between this finding and the World Health Organization's (2005) flaim is that in this case awareness, nor stigma or discrimination, are stand alone factors or root causes. they address women's issues as equally central to the issue of HIV and AIDS because in their findings Western Dani women are "...less educated [than their male counterparts] and less mobile and, therefore, line little access to information..." (2011:S186). There is a pattern of unaware Western Dani men intracting the infection whilst travelling to less remote areas to make money. The virus is then brought one to the remote villages to their spouses who know equally little about why they are sick and what is hippening (2011:S186). It is a complex issue and if one is going to pinpoint lack of awareness as a cause it must be further investigated within the context of the culture.

Cultural appropriateness of material is another vital issue that crops up in the literature regarding HIV and AIDS in the Pacific Islands. In 2006 the Australian Government published a report predicting the outcome of HIV and AIDS prevention from 2005 through 2025 in Papua New Guinea, Indonesia and East Timor. The paper draws from several prominent scholars on the subject and seems to be fairly well informed about the scholarly and anthropological stance on the subject. Despite this, the report still fell short in some of its methodologies and conclusions. For instance, a "...generic model was separately adapted for Papua New Guinea, Indonesia and East Timor based on available epidemiological and behavioural data..." (AusAID 2006:10). An attempt is made to approach the problem in a more culturally applicable way but it could still be argued that beginning with a "generic" model and tweaking it does not reach core issues. McMillan and Worth (2011) critique the available published research which often "...limited and largely confined to surveys focusing on individual knowledge, attitudes and behaviour," (McMillan and Worth 2011:314) and excludes accounts of interpersonal factors that they found so prevalent in their own research. To explain the genoric model and data based approaches Jenny Munro and Leslie Butt draw upon a theoretical model conceived by T. M. Li. The concept, which is applied to Munro and Butt's (2012) theory, is referred to as "rendering technical" (Munro and Butt 2012:335). As explained by Munro and Butt (2012) it refers to the:

"...process by which complex dimensions of human problems are reduced and simplified in order to produce generally applicable, uncontested approaches that fit the agendas of state governments and international organisations." (2012:335)

They argue that social programs and projects, such as HIV prevention and treatment, are
"...characterised in technical terms, carrying with them forms of judgment, vocabularies of implementation,
and ideas about human abilities, all simplified in the service of getting the job done." (2012:335). In other
words a culturally adapted approach is not as efficient as data, facts and information. Richard Eves (2012)
provides a comparable critique in regards to the organization UNAIDS (2005) and the lack of culturally

Thistian viewpoints and epistemologies in Papua New Guinea with the begennonic position of scientific abcourse (CITE). Eves (2012) points out that there is an assumption of "...neutrality and universality of the adomiation..." (Eves 2012:65). He also highlights the notion that "...beliefs that contradict the authoritative blowledge will simply be displaced by the facts... despite extensive evidence that being given the "correct information" does not necessarily change people's behaviour." (2012:65). This point was alluded to in the authoritative discussion of McMillan and Worth's (2011) study of condom usage in Vanuatu and Tonga. Simonin et. If (2011) conclude that "Bridging [the] gap requires working towards communication and mutual inderstanding to build a more adapted – and less patriarchal and patronising – politics of development."

#2011;S196). Therefore, a dialogue must be opened between the involved parties – global institutions, local institutions and the people – with mutual respect and recognition.

In 2009 UNAIDS published a document on global health which outlining its human rights based approach to global issues relating to HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS 2009:4). According to their report:

Many countries have recently completed a full analysis of where and how the most recent new HIV infections occurred and understand the reasons why they occurred. This data will assist in choosing not set the right strategies but will also make the investments in the AIDS response more effective."

Despite specific criticisms of UNAIDS (2005) mandate by Eves (2012) and more generalized criticisms of responses UNAIDS (2012) has updated its approach. Based on the most recent fact sheet ideased by UNAIDS (2012) these strategies seem to be working. For instance, from 2001 through 2011 mass of new infections throughout Oceania declined from 3700 people to 2900 people (UNAIDS 2012:1). Beaths in Oceania related to AIDS were also reduced from 2005 to 2011 from 2300 to 1300. Additionally arross Oceania "...an estimated 69% [60-80%] of people eligible for antiretroviral therapy were accessing it as 2011, compared to a global average of 54% [50-56%]." (UNAIDS 2012:2). This does not mean that we

should abandon the pursuit of ways to relay information that are specific to cultural areas and are culturally appropriate. As Munro and Butt (2012) conclude "Anthropologists have consistently shown how research employing nuanced ethnographic approaches can balance behavioural and epidemiological research..."

(Munro and Butt 2012:335).

A number of works have been and continue to be published on the treatment of HIV and AIDS in the Pacific Islands. They are crucial to the process of mutual discourse between all facets involved both inside and outside of society. Through these dialogues large-scale institutions and local people can come to an agreeable and effect solution. UNAIDS seems to be taking the initial steps to accepting a more regionally based and culturally relativist approach to tackling HIV and AIDS epidemics in the Pacific Islands. However, approaches to epidemics and other large scale national and international issues must continue to interrogate and be interrogated

References

Australian Government.

2006 Impacts of HIV/AIDS 2005–2025 in Papua New Guinea, Indonesia and East Timor. In AuxAID. Retrieved from http://www.ausaid.gov.au/Publications/Documents/impacts_hiv.pdf McMillan, K., & Worth, H.

2011 The impact of socio-cultural context on young people's condom use: evidence from two Pacific Island countries. Culture: health de sexuality, 13(03), 313-326.

Munro, J., & Butt, L.

2012 Compelling Evidence: Research Methods, HIV/AIDS, and Politics in Papua, Indonesia. The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology, 13(4), 334-351.

Eves, R.

2012 Resisting Global AIDS Knowledges: Born-Again Christian Narratives of the Epidemic from Papua New Guinea. Medical Anthropology, 31(1), 61-76.

Simonin, A., Bushee, J., & Conreand, A.

2011 Social, cultural and political factors in the design of HIV programmes with Papuan highland communities. Culture, health & sexuality, 13(sup2), 185-199.

UNAIDS.

2009 AIDS and global health. In UNAIDS. Retrieved from http://www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/dutaimport/pub/report/2009/jc1731_a ids_and_global_health_en.pdf

UNAIDS.

2012 Regional Fact Sheet 2012: Asia and the Pacific. In UNAIDS. Retrieved from http://www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/documents/epidemiology/2012/gr201 2/2012_FS_regional_asia_pacific_en.pdf World Health Organization.

> 2005 Papua New Guinea. In World Health Organization. Retrieved from http://www.wbo.int/hiv/HIVCP_PNG.pdf

The Poetics of History: Illness Narratives and the Rhetoric of Contrast

Introduction

Giulia Sciolli

The following essay will explore how illness narratives can function as means of expressing the historical consciousness of the people to which they belong. The issue is important because it goes against the widespread view that people who do not express historical consciousness in recognized Western ways' simply lack it (Resuldo 1980:91,92; Comaroff and Comaroff 1987:192,193). I will argue, instead, that illness accounts of indigenous groups can express their collective historical consciousness by means of the rhetoric of contrast in time and space.

First, I will illustrate the theoretical framework within which illness narratives can be understood at ways of expressing historical consciousness. I will then provide ethnographic evidence to the argument by presenting Garro's (1990, 1995, 2000) case-study of an Anishinaabe aboriginal community in Manitobo, Canada, in which "the old days" before the arrival of the Europeans are opposed to the present and its "new" illness (Garro 1995;38). Finally, I will show another example in which the rhetoric of contrast is found to work in a similar fashion: Gordillo's (2002) case-study among the Toba indigenous group of the Argentine Chaco, in which the illness and deaths experienced in the plantation where they worked as migrant laborers are opposed to the health experienced in the homeland bush.

A theoretical framework

Let us begin with the relationship between illness, stories, culture and historical consciousness. Disease is surely something that happens in the body, something that involves more or less a physical and often psychological disruption. However, as stated by Good, "Disease occurs not only in the body [...], but in time, in place, in history, and in the context of lived experience and the social world" (Good 1994:133, emphasis added). Stories are "ways of thinking through the past", of making sense of current situations and

Ways such as conventional historical narratives of events or accounts of past relations (Comaroff and Comaroff 1987-205).

which people can express the relationship between illness and other events or experiences in their life (Good 1994:133), places where it is possible to explore cultural life in its unfolding as both "personal and social huma" (Garro and Mattingly 2000:17). This because cultural knowledge always informs stories, at the same that stories, working as media "between particularities and generalities", link personal experiences to oftural meanings (ibid.:26). Where does historical consciousness fit in this relationship between illness, unites and culture? As John and Jean Comaroff argue, consciousness is the active process, more or less asplicit, "in which human actors deploy historically solient cultural categories to construct their self-mareness": forms of representation like illness narratives can thus be understood as the speaking out of historical consciousness (Comaroff and Comaroff 1987:205, emphasis added).

Diabetes accounts in an Anishinaabe community: contrasts in time

Garro's research site was an Anishinaabe reserve community in southern Manitoba, Canada. With the arrival of the Europeans, diseases were introduced with terrible consequences for the First Peoples such as the Anishinaabe: the population was decimated by infectious disease epidemics, whose effects were amplified by the famine and poor nutrition resulting from disruption in subsistence strategies following the netwomers' arrival. Since World War II there has been a substantial decline in the incidence of infectious lineases, but this has been matched by an "epidemiological transition" towards an exponential increase in the so called "diseases of Westernization": chronic degenerative diseases such as cancer, heart disease and stubetes (Garro 1995;37, 2000b;289). With respect to diabetes, several studies among the First Peoples of North

34

America document a rapid increase in cases of maturity-onset diabetes², from virtually none to an 'epidemic' situation. It is thus important to explore how the Anishinaabe account for both their personal cases of diabetes and its general increase in their community (Garro 1995:37).

Diabetes was generally seen to be related to the presence of too much sugar in the body: in Anishinanhi language it was referred to with expressions which can be translated as "sugar sickness" or "sweet sickness", whereas when speaking in English people often used "sugar" and "diabetes" interchangeably (Garra 1995;40). When asked about the causes of the illness, people tended to give two general types of explanation. The first one, often accompanied by the statement that they had been told so by doctors or nurses, focused on individual responsibility: it explained diabetes as coming from eating or drinking too much of particular things high in sugar, or simply from overeating: "You get 'sugar' eating too many sweets — sugar, candy bars, sodas — things like that. That's the main thing. You cat too much, and you get sugar easily [...] (D14, f, 63)" (ibid::41). The second general explanation was instead from local wild foods to store-bought foods, which are full of additives and chemicals. Consistently with the recent outburst of diabetes in the community, diabetes was often called "white man's sickness", together with other illnesses seen as being introduced by the Europeans, such as measles, tuberculosis, chicken pox, cancer and high blood pressure (Garro 1995;41,42, 2000a:76):

Long ago people lived to be 100 years old. They survived that long. They didn't eat junk food, like we do today. They survived that long without these illnesses. And nowadays, just look, they are coming in with all these sicknesses all the time (D10, nr. 59). (Garro 1995:41).

In the old days, Anishinaabeg were healthy and happy; nowadays, they get operations. In the old days they would never get sick... That's what my mother sold me – these old people didn't get sick. They are wild finit and wild vegetables – carrots, turnips, priors (D14, £, 63). (Bbid.).

In say where somebody gets sugar diabetes is the food we eat. Nobody are canned food before [pause]. It's the white man's list. White people put too much chemicals in the food. Anishimatheg never had sugar diabetes. Nobody are canned mean white. What someone used to eat was salt pork, dried beans, and eggs. Other things were also eaten. People would plain their wit gardens for the winter. Com was planted, for they would eat it during the winter with other foods [...]. (Garro 1000a; 78).

It is evident how talks about diabetes bring up "strongly articulated contrasts" between the healthy wild had obtained through subsistence activities of the past and the unbealthy purchased foods of the present (tarro 2000a:76). These statements are not necessarily tied to an individual's personal history, but are commentaries about the community history: they help individuals to account for the recent emergence of tubetes, while at the same time, following Connection, "making sense of the past as a kind of collective autobiography" (ibid.:78). Finally, these accounts assert a "collective memory" of a past in which the Anishinaabe were healthy (Garro 2000b:299); they are thus an articulation of shared identity (ibid:303). These illness narratives clearly express an historical consciousness endowed with a moral stand, which implicitly condemns the current situation and resists the biomedical view of individual responsibility (Garro 3000a:79). Moreover, people in the community, whose surrounding area had been settled and cultivated, tho commented that those living "up north" were healthier because they had more access to the kind of food eaten in the past, for instance through hunting (Garro 1990:434, 1995:43). This resonates with the separitive association found by a national Canadian study between latitude and disease rates, and with the insearchers' suggestion "that latitude indicates the strength of underlying Euro-Canadian influence, manifest as lifestyle changes along a north-south gradient" (Garro 1995:37).

It is important to point out that it was not uncommon for people to mix the two explanations, for instance by referring to what a doctor had said about being overweight and then talking about the harmful effects of named food (ibid.:44). This was probably so because how individuals understand an illness depends both on personal experience and on information obtained through other sources, such as listening to other people's

It is the non-insulin dependent diabetes, called Type II (Garro 1995:37).

stories (Garro 2000b:289). Moreover, the 'individual responsibility framework', being ahistorical and excluding the broader social context of the disease, failed to account for the recent emergence of diabetes in the community, which people widely saw as one of the many consequences of the disruption of their way of life brought about by the Europeans (Garro 1995:44,45). Narratives of this kind thus worked to strengthen the connection between collective history and illness, providing an explanatory framework to be used as a cultural resource for understanding both individual illness experiences and why diabetes had recently become a significant health concern (Garro 2000a:84). It is now clear, in the words of Crandon, that "what people say about their social world through the idiom of medicine are statements about political and economic realities, and the meanings of ethnic relations" (Garro 1995:38).

Toba's illness narratives: contrasts in space

Interestingly, a similar way of expressing historical consciousness is found among the Toba of the Chaco, in Argentina. As Gordillo (2002) argues, the social memory of fear, illness and death that this indigenous group experienced while working in the plantation economy was produced by contrasting it to the experience of their homeland: the bush of the Chaco; through this negative dialectic, both the plantations and the bush were constructed as places embedded with historical meaning (Gordillo 2002:35). Gordillo explains how, in the memory of the Toba, the diseases and deaths experienced in the plantations became "the embodiment of the social strains embedded in the plantation" (ibid.:38). In a similar fashion, one could say that the white man's sicknesses experienced by the Anishinaabe represent the embodiment of the alienation and domination embedded in the socio-political and economic world created by the arrival of the Europeans.

In particular, the bush of the Chaco emerged as a place of health because of its differences from the cancfields, differences that were enhanced through the cyclical return to it; the bush was a "place of their own", organized along social relations which were very different from those dominating the cane-fields, and thus free of all those diseases that were consequences of their appalling living conditions (ibid::48):

in the ingerio there were all types of diseases. You didn't lack disease: coughing, fever, smallpox...That's why many kids had, many grownups too,...The diseases came from the mountain...Here [in the bush], there's almost no disease. There's same, but after a while it goes away...In the ingenio, there were tons of diseases. They didn't go away. Here in the bush, the sameses don't show up. It seems that over here, we don't have what they have over there. (ibid.).

Furthermore, the bush was constructed not only as a place free from the decimating diseases, but as a place of healing, where they could reconstitute their torn physical and social body (ibid.). Among other things, such as the absence of the maleficent devils depicted as responsible for the diseases and deaths, what was seen as central for the Toba's health and physical strength was bush food: "Around this time of the year [October], people were already feeling flabby. They wanted to come back home. They were thinking that they wanted to eat fish and honey" (ibid.:49).

Therefore, like the Anishinaabe, the Toba see consumption of wild food as essential to their health, in contrast to the packaged food sold in stores. This is not only for its nutritional value, but also for its social qualities: wild food is always available to those in need, because it is always shared within the community through networks of reciprocity; store-bought food is instead commodified food, it is accessible only to those who carn money, and therefore embodies the commodification of the Toba's own life in the cane-fields (ibid.). It is through the tensions connecting the cane-fields and the bush that the contradiction between exploitation and autonomy that dominated their historical experience comes into play in both their subjectivity and in their social memory: the rhetorical contrast between the terror of the plantation and the resilience of the bush thus works as an arena in which people can express and articulate critical awareness of their historical past (ibid.:50).

Conclusion

Through this essay, I have attempted to show how historical consciousness does not necessarily have to be expressed in what we are used to recognize as conventional history. Instead, it can be articulated, more or less explicitly, through narratives pertaining to the everyday life, such as illness narratives: in the Anishinanbe community of Manitoba, illness narratives of people affected by diabetes express historical consciousness of the profoundly negative changes experienced since the arrival of the Europeans; this is accomplished through a rhetoric of contrast between 'the old days' free from diabetes and the present state of diabetes 'epidemies', with food being "its primary trope" (Comaroff and Comaroff 1987;204). In a similar fashion, the Toba people of Argentina articulate the historical consciousness of their past exploitation in the plantation economy through the rhetoric of contrast which opposes the cane-fields as a place of disease and death to the bash as place of health and healing; and food plays an important role here as well.

Following Lefebvre, Gordillo argued that "it is especially in contradictions to space that forms of consciousness come effectively into play in their current everyday practices" (Gordillo 2002:51), which is perfectly consistent with his case of the Toba of Argentina. However, looking at the Anishmanbe's diabetes accounts, it seems that one should expand Lefebvre's argument to include contradictions in time. Furthermore, even in the case of the Toba time played a central role: people articulated these narratives not only once back in their villages in the bush, but also almost thirty years after their experience in the plantation had ended: their memories were thus produced not only by opposing different places, but also by opposing different historical moments (ibid::34). In conclusion, one should pay more attention to everyday "poetics of history" like these when looking for manifestations of historical consciousness; otherwise, one might just miss a great deal of what historical consciousness is all about (Comaroff and Comaroff 1987;204,205).

References

Comproff, John L. and Comproff, Jean.

1987 The Madman and the Migrant: Work and Labor in the Historical Consciousness of a South African People. American Ethnologist 14(2):191-209. Gurro, Linda, C.

1990 Continuity and Change: The Interpretation of Illness in an Anishinaabe (Ojibway) Community, Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 14:417-454.

Garro, Linda, C.

1995 Individual or Societal Responsibility? Explanations of Diabetes in an Anishinaabe (Ojibway) Community. Social Science & Medicine 40(1):37-46.

Ginrro, Linda C.

2000a Cultural Knowledge as Resource in Illness Narratives: Remembering through accounts of illness. In Narrative and the Cultural Construction of Illness and Healing. Cheryl Mattingly and Linda C. Garro, eds. Pp. 70-87. Berkeley: University of California Press.

2000b Remembering What One Knows and the Construction of the Past: A comparison of Cultural Consensus Theory and Cultural Schema Theory. Ethnos 28(3):275-319.

Garro, Linda. C., and Mattingly, Cheryl.

2000 Narrative as Construct and Construction. In Narrative and the Cultural Construction of Illness and Healing. Cheryl Mattingly and Linda C. Garro, eds. Pp. 1–49. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Good, Byron J.

1994 Medicine, rationality, and experience: An anthropological perspective. Cambridge University Press.

Gordillo, Gaston.

2002 The Breath of the Devils: Memories and Places of an Experience of Terror. American Ethnologist 29(1):33-57.

Resaldo, Renato.

1980 Doing Oral History. Social Analysis 4:89-99.

Connecting Aboriginals to their Traditional Lands in Guadalcanal and the Solomon Islands

Conan Gradson

Introduction

Guadalcanal is one of many islands that make up the country of the Solomon Islands. Guadalcanal is the largest island, represents one of the provinces and holds the capital city of Honiara (Hadden 2007:9). Other than large forested lands there are few natural resources throughout the island, and limited government control over human activities has resulted in problems (9). Guadalcanal is dominated largely by tree covered volcanic mountains and some grasslands, most of which has had little development (9). The fact that Guadalcanal is largely dominated by forested areas and has few other natural resources has caused the main forms of economic growth to centre on forestry and plantations (8). This leads to issues that are a major problem for the people of Guadalennal: land ownership. The aboriginal people of Guadaleanal face pressure from outside sources for the exploitation of the forest. The question becomes: how can one link the past with the present to alleviate the questions of land ownership? I propose that with the use of a multidisciplinary team, there could be a way to connect the aboriginals to the lands that are under siege by forestry groups. I believe that through the use of archaeology, cultural anthropology and oral traditions scholars can come to a consensus on the authenticity of land ownership for the aboriginals of Guadalcanal. In this paper, I will explore ways that these disciplines can work together to better understand the land occupancy of the aboriginals, as well as how these peoples have claims to traditional lands and how these proposed actions could be beneficial for the Solomon Islands and many other nations that are being exploited by their governments and trans-national corporations. It will be important that I look at previous studies in these areas and also consider how this proposal could affect many people who occupy the island today. There are never easy answers to issues such as land ownership; however, it is a common issue throughout the world, an issue that must have some resolution as well as a consistent way of arriving at

these resolutions.

The concept of linking the past to the present is not one that I am alone in proposing: Richard B. Lee was one of the first to attempt to advocate the multidisciplinary approach to connect traditional lands to the aboriginal peoples. I will be connecting his studies of the !Kung people/bushmen of Botswana to faudalcanal in an attempt to show how his work could be beneficial for reclaiming traditional lands and connecting lands to the people. Lee says:

In order to understand the hunning and gathering pattern, we have to do a reconstruction to get a picture of the distribution of landholding groups as they were during the 1920's, before the Bantu settlement. 1972:134)

he !Kung to times before their traditions were affected by outside influences or migrations of other peoples that could affect their culture. I connect this to the people of Guadalcanal because there is a need to connect the past to the present so that land tenure can truly be connected to the traditional areas used by the people. Lee's concepts will be integral to the framework that I am proposing. However, before there can be a true and complete connection between Guadalcanal aboriginal land usages and modern forestry controlled lands, we need to understand the way that the land has been used and controlled since contact with Europeans, and book at the state of the forestry industry on the island today.

Colonialism and its Effects on Guadalcanal and the Solomon Islands

Colonialism has had a lasting effect on the locations that were controlled by the colonialists and the following lands were directly influenced by these activities. Colonialism began in Guadaleanal in 1893 when it became a protectorate of the British called the British Solomon Islands Protectorate (B.S.I.P.)

Ryniker 2001:11 & Sofield 2006:179). The actions of colonialism are still felt today because of the furopean presence that was forced on the island (Sofield 2006:179). The British caused changes to the land by bringing in four different groups of alien migrants (179); they are represented by colonial

bureaucrats, missionaries, planters and Chinese workers (179). This brought about the expansion into traditional lands, and under the "Oucen's Regulation no. 4 of 1896" and the "King's Regulation no 2 of 1904," lands that were not being used or occupied by the natives were considered "waste land" (179). The lands that were not being used or occupied by the aboriginals were open to be claimed by the government. its officials or different companies (179). The ability to claim more land for companies meant that more workers were required to work the lands but the natives of Guadalcanal were not numerous enough to fill all the new positions (Sofield 2006:179). The plantation owners had a solution and it came from the neighbouring island to the north, Malaita. (Sofield 2006:180). Another factor brought about by the British protectorate caused even more of an influx of Malaitan workers. The creation of the head tax in 1920 brought even more migrant workers from Malaita due to the lack of work on the island, which created a need for its inhabitants to make money to avoid jail time (Rymker 2001:43 & Sofield 2006:180). The influx of workers from neighbouring islands added to the pressures of land ownership for the native people of Guadalcanal, since the migrant workers were often occupying areas of traditional lands and this caused increased levels of tension between the groups (Sofield 2006: 180 & Tucker 2010:12). One contributing factor was that Guadalcanal was the island that received the largest numbers of Malaitan's (Sofield 2006:180 & 181). Guadalcanal had become inundated by migrant workers from Malaita and lost many of their traditional lands to plantations, companies and the British protectorate. However, there is still the issue surrounding the question of why so many of these traditional lands were considered not used or occupied when colonialism took control of Guadaleanal and the Solomon islands.

Guadalcanal native peoples lost much of the island when the British protectorate took control of the Solomon Islands, even though in the past the aboriginals had used a large percentage of the land. One might ask how the British did not notice them using these lands when they began to bring in the different groups of alien migrants; the answer comes from long before the implementation of colonial rule. It was in the early 1800s that regular but infrequent traders began to arrive in the Solomon Islands (Ryniker 2001:10). The made routes favoured some islands over others: Guadaleanal became a favorite, while Malaita was mostly amored by the traders (10). This was a key factor in the lack of development in Malaita that led to the large number of migrants that were transferred throughout the Solomon Islands (Ryniker 2001:10 & Sofield 1006:180). The concept of trade and the desire for cargo brought people out of the mountainous regions and down to the coastal regions to increase their chances of interacting with passing ships (Ryniker 2001:43). This caused a shift in the way the people of Guadaleanal used many of their traditional lands. The shift allowed the B.S.I.P. to deem many of the lands as "waste land" and claim them for their own use or sell them to companies or plantation owners (Sofield 2006:179). But with the migration of new peoples into Guadaleanal and the restructuring of their lands by the B.S.I.P., there came a period of time when there was a definite disjointing between the aboriginals, their ancestors and the land.

Guadalcanal and the Solomon Islands were never really considered an important part of British inlonial ventures, but they were seen as lands that could be used for economic gain (Sofield 2006:185 & Tucker 2010:5). The lands were primarily used for sugarcane and copra plantations, fisheries and forestry, but forestry was not on the same level as the other economic systems (Tucker 2010:5). Guadalcanal lands were segregated into distinct categories based around the traditional British system of land tenure, and this was problematic for the aboriginals and their traditional land tenure systems (Sofield 2006:185). The land systems implemented were: Crown land, frechold title, park land, reserve land, waste land, and eatchments areas (185). This continued until after World War II when the British wanted to focus on themselves and allow their colonies to establish their own independence (Sofield 2006:185 & Tucker 2010:6). The transition was focused on keeping the former colonies closely connected to the British parliamentary "Westminster system" and this led to the first parliament of the Solomon Islands (Tucker 2010:6). However, things changed when colonialism came to an end.

At the end of colonialism the way that the people looked at ownership and land rights changed, becoming more closely aligned with the peoples' outlook on their lands and maybe even leaning towards *kastom*; however, some of the developments incurred during colonialism transferred into this new outlook on land and landownership. In was on July 7, 1978 that the government of the Solomon Islands took over from the British and ushered in the first independence that these islands had seen in nearly one hundred years (Allen 2012;302 & Tucker 2012;6). There was now a shift from the British system of land categorization to a new system that reflected a more traditional view of the land rights in the region (Sofield 2006;186). The new Land & Titles act composed shortly after independence in 1978 dropped the number of recognized land categories to just three (Allen 2012;302 & Sofield 2006;186). The new categories are: government alienated, leased alienated and *kustom* lands (Sofield 2006;186). All these different forms of land tenure have different rules associated with them.

The different land tenures each have their own stipulations that control the way that land can be used, sold and even transferred between groups. The three systems of land tenure are distinctively different, but the common thread is that the lease on all lands is 75 years and nonnegotiable, meaning that if the lease changes hands after 10 years the new owner would only have 65 years remaining on the lease (Sofield 200) 186). Leased alienated lands are limited to a 75 year lease and can be bought and sold (186). The government alienated lands are those that fall into the public sector, and are comprised of the capital, provincial administrative centers, airfields, and other sites associated with national requirements (186). The final portion of the land tenure act has to do with the kastom lands or tribal lands. These lands have to be lived on by the aboriginals or have a connection through ancestry that require an unbroken line of lineage (Sofield 2006:186). These lands cannot be bought or sold and require a connection to patrilineal or matrilineal lines based on where the people reside within the Solomon Islands (Allen 2012: 309 & Sofield 2006:186). However, much of the traditionally used lands have been lost due to the movements of workers.

and the influx of new peoples during colonialism, as well as the encroachment of plantations and forestry in more recent times (Ryniker 2012:153). Acculturation has also been a contributing factor to the loss of maditional lands because much of the past has been forgotten, making the connection to these lands difficult for the aboriginals (153). Although these changes to land tenure have altered the situation since the end of colonialism, there are still many problems facing the aboriginals of Guadaleanal. They are in a vortex of mource development and increasing economic demands, and yet the full extent of their traditional land use is far from understood. So what are the problems that are being caused by forestry and why is it a concern for the aboriginals of Guadaleanal, or why should it be a concern for them? To come to a further understanding one must have an understanding of how the forestry industry operates on the island of Guadaleanal.

Forestry in Guadaleanal

Guadalcanal has many issues surrounding landownership and how it is being dealt with; however, which lands are true traditional lands of the ancestors and how these lands are being used today are issues that seem to have been neglected. I propose that many of the traditional lands are being used by forestry urganizations and that these lands need to be properly surveyed for any cultural remnants. There is also a need for studies that focus on oral histories in Guadalcanal to clarify our understanding of traditional landownership and how it affects the people. However, before we can discuss the ways that these studies can assist in resolving what might be one of the great cultural losses of recent times, we must understand the way the forestry industry operates in Guadalcanal and what type of lands exist in the region.

There are many features about the Solomon Islands and Guadalcanal that have led to an economy tused around plantation goods, forestry, fisheries, mining, etc. The fact that the Solomon Islands exist in a propical region of the Pacific where there is a lot of sunshine, high annual rainfall, and mean daily temperatures of 25 to 32 degrees Celsius means that it a prime location for the main forms of economic production (Pauku 2009:4). The tropical region of the Solomon Islands has six different forms of vegetation: grasslands and non-forested areas (comprised of mostly non-tree species of vegetation), saline swamp forests (vegetation that is influenced by tidal waters, generally found in estuaries and foreshores), freshwater swamps and riverine forests (generally found in regions where there is poor drainage and at low altitudes), lowland forests (forests that exist between 5-70 m above sea level and are comprised of many different species of vegetation), hill forests (forests that exist between 400-600 m above sea level and in well-drained soils), and montane forest (forests over 600 m above sea level on ridge tops and mountain summits) (Pauku 2009:5 & 6). It is estimated that within these six different vegetation zones there exists about 5000 different plant species (6). It is also estimated that about 88% of the total land on the Solomon Islands is covered by forests (15). With a basic understanding of the different forms of vegetation we can now move forward and look at how the forested regions are being used.

The government of the Solomon Islands has a policy on how forestry should be managed and sheds some light on the state of the forestry industry in the Solomon Islands. Pauku writes:

The Coalition for National Unity and Rural Advancement (CNURA) government's policy goal for the forestry sector is, "The harvesting of forests resources at a sustainable rate with fair returns to landowners and the government and the replanting and care for the environment including promoting of all protected areas and to ensure Solomon Islands receive fair returns on the export of round logs that reflect true international market value." This policy goal does not really point out the government's stand on community (smallholder) forestry. (2009:14)

What this shows is that the government is focused on the forestry industry as a contributing force for the strengthening of the economy of the Solomon Islands; however, they are also neglecting the smaller land owner. Furthermore, I believe that they are not focusing on trying to figure out if there are any lands that may have remains that reflect past usage by aboriginals on the islands. It should be noted that there are some important concessions in the government's stand on forestry, including required reforestation after cutting on all different lands that are being used. There are examples of traditional lands being used for forestry and issues have arisen from these activities. In some cases tribes have neglected to include all members that

have rights to lands, whether they be of the same tribe or neighbouring ones (Wairau 7). This has resulted in many levels of contention, since what results from these tribal forestry ventures is that only a few people profit while the others are left with nothing but the loss of their traditional lands (Wairau 8). It is obvious that the government requires the forestry industry's continued development to ensure economic growth for the region; however, the ways that the industry affects the people and the land, especially land that may be traditional, are being ignored. However, we must not forget that the people of the Solomon Islands and Guadalcanal know that their lives are dependent on the land. The majority of peoples in the Solomon Islands exist as subsistence farmers and live off the land (Tucker 2012:15). Pauku writes:

Land in the Solomon Islands is a treasure and the people regard land as their 'true' identity and like a mother who provides them with the basic necessities of life such as food, water, fodder, raw materials, firewood and a place within which to live. Thus, anyone who has no land or is caused to have no land is regarded as "matter-of-fact" poor.

This statement really encompasses the entire argument of this paper. The land is their livelihood and the forestry industry is in a sense a tyrant that is removing their lifeline. This brings us to the need for academics to try and find ways to link peoples to their traditional lands, which will alleviate further disputes telating to land tenure. Through archaeological and ethnographic research a connection might be made that could benefit the aboriginals as well as the government and the economy of the Solomon Islands and Guadalcanal, if steps are taken in the right direction.

Archaeology in Guadalcanal

Although many suggest that little is known about the pre-history in Gundalcanal and suggest that the narliest evidence of the arrival of the aboriginals comes around 1000 B.C.E. (Hadden 2007;7), others in more recent years show that this region has had human occupation beginning more than 40,000 years ago [Allen 1996;13 & Bedford 2008;97). However, much is still unknown about the levels of colonization by the aboriginals of the Melanesian islands (Bedford 2008;97). Currently there has been little archaeological exploration throughout the Solomon Islands and there can also be difficulties that arise for archaeologists in

regions like these.

Because of a lack of direct archaeological evidence from Guadaleanal and most of the Solomon Islands, I will be utilizing evidence from neighbouring regions to discuss what I consider to be the typical evidence that may be found during the excavation process. I will draw my comparisons and theoretical development from studies on areas to the north such as New Guinea (Specht 1967:493) and to the south like Vanusatu (Bedford 2008:95) that have had somewhat more of an archaeological tradition. These areas reflect very similar climates and terrain and thus can be useful for creating a well-developed theory of what might be found when excavating in Guadaleanal. The difficulties that are connected with tropical archaeology are numerous and can become very problematic when trying to develop some sort of chronology associated to land occupancy.

There are difficulties associated with archaeological remains and the acquisition of the age of many artifacts found because of the tropical climate and limitations associated with carbon dating (Specht 1967:493). The issues that archaeologists face have to do with the way that organic materials decompose in the tropical environments seen in Melanesia (Bedford 2008:102). High levels of moisture, high heat, and highly acidic soils mean that organic materials are harder to find, which also affects the chances of acquiring carbon dates in these regions (102). Carbon dating requires organic materials found *in-situ* (103). The issue is that with the tropical climate most organics do not last a long time and this limits our ability to get longer dates. For example, many recent finds on the island of Vanuatu that have been analyzed only reflect dates as far back as 3000 years before present (104). This timeline represents a long enough span of time to qualify land occupancy for the aboriginals residing in this region. If similar dates could be achieved on excavations in Guadaleanal, there could be changes made to the way different regions are viewed by the descendants of the people who originally utilized the island. However, there could be other forms of archaeological evidence that could expand the timelines of occupation in Guadaleanal and could also link groups that

currently exist on the island to regions that they have long forgotten to be traditional lands. So with issues around preservation of organic materials being well documented in the neighbouring regions, we must wonder what other forms of archaeological evidence we could expect to find.

It has been said that the most prevalent assemblages in the world are those of lithic remains. This appears to be true in Melanesia as well. Throughout Melanesia there have been numerous finds of lithic remains, reduction flakes being the most frequent (Allen 1996:16 & Clark 2003:197). Along with the lithic assemblages, archaeologists have found ground stone tools, ground shell tools and pottery remains (Allen 1996:16). These are the artifacts that I would expect to be the most common in Guadalcanal. Pottery remains will probably be the most informative forms of archaeological evidence (Clark 2003: 211); however, I personally believe that lithic debitage and tools will be found in the highest amounts, and with proper classification systems this could lead to a strong connection between lands, times and aboriginal peoples. These forms of materials are difficult to date on their own but they can be dated based on style, and if they are found with other materials these can help to create a dating chronology (Allen 1996:14 & 15). Another form of evidence that may be found but that are highly unlikely to remain due to regional conditions are wooden carved figurines (Specht 1967:494). Although it is likely that there are many different archaeologically significant finds in Guadalcanal, it is important to attempt to find evidence that will have the highest chance of remaining in situ.

What becomes clear from research in areas around Guadalcanal is that the archaeological evidence that will best link groups to former traditional lands is to be found by designating distinctive manufacturing techniques and styles of stone tools and pottery in the different regions. To actually design a proper classification system for Guadalcanal would require extensive excavations in areas that appear to have features that archaeologists consider culturally significant. Along with archaeological evidence, a use of ethnographic materials can bridge the link between what has been a long lasting belief and what is reality

(Clark 2003:203 & Specht 1967:497).

Conclusion

One thing that always becomes apparent when one goes about exploring regions that have had little or no previous archaeological exploration is that it opens the door to a Pandora's box of new and exciting developments. The more we explore regions that we know have a long cultural history, the more we come to realize that we know so little about the past. There are of course many other factors that can be brought up regarding land tenure and how land is being used in Guadalcanal. As we gain understanding through ethnographic research, archaeology and oral traditions, we will gain a broader understanding of how lands were used before first contact with European explorers. We can also gain insight into what parts of aboriginal culture have been lost or transformed through migration of groups and acculturation by outside controlling groups. Creating a more precise understanding of land tenure issues in Guadalcanal and how the land was used throughout pre-European contact may result in the aboriginals regaining previously lost and/or forgotten lands. Creating these connections between the anthropological disciplines will widen our understanding of peoples and regions as we move forward and attempt to create links between the past and present.

References

Allen, Jim

1996 The Pre-Austonesian Settlement of Island Melanesia: Impact for Lapita Archaeology. American Philosophical Society, 86(5): 11-27.

Allen, Matthew G.

2012 Information Formalisation in a hybrid property space: The Case of Smallholder Oil Palm Production in Solomon Islands. Asia Pacific Viewpoint, 53(3): 300-313.

Bedford, Stuart & Spriggs, Mathew

2008 Northern Vanuatu as a Pacific Crossroads: The Archaeology of Discovery, Interaction, and the Emergence of the "Ethnographic Present". Asian Perspectives, 47(1): 95-120.

Clark, Geoffrey

2003 Shards of Meaning: Archaeology and the Melanesia-Polynesia Divide. The Journal of Pacific History, 38(2): 197-215.

Hadden R. Lee

2007 The Geology of Guadalcanal: A selected Bibliography of the Geology,

Natural History, and the History of Guadalcanal. Topography Engineering Center, US Anny: 1-176. Psuku, Richard L.

2009 Solomon Island Forestry outlook study. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.

Rynsker, David

2001 A hard Stone People: Social Relations and the Nation State in the Vaturanga District, Guadalcanal, Solomon Islands. University of British Columbia, Canada. 2012 The Silent Missiles of Guadalcanal. Oceania, 82: 152-163.

fucker, Alexis Elizabeth

2010 Why Don't Things Fall Apart? A Study of the Survival of the Solomon Islands State. University of California, San Diego.

Sofield, Trevor H. B.

2006 Solomon Islands: Unity in Diversity – The End of a Dream? In Australia's Arc of Instability: The political and cultural dynamic of regional security. D. Rumley et al eds. Pp. 171-198. Springer Publishing: The Netherlands.

Specht, Jim

1967 Archaeology in Melanesia: A Suggested Procedure. Mankind, 6:10: 493-498.

Wairiu, Morgan & Nanau, Gordon

Logging and Conflict in Birao Ward of Guadaleanal, Solomon Islands. Island Knowledge & Research Programme. http://www.island-knowledge.org.

Human Nature and Nature Nature: Anthropological Problematization and the Difficulty of Applying Anthropological Theory to the Real World

Sarah Jane Kerr-Lopsler

Anthropological discourse has for quite some time problematized environmentalism for ignoring hill rights, particularly when it comes to First Nations communities (Braun 2002, Einarsson 1993), and many individuals have criticized humanitarianism for its inefficiencies and for being counterproductively ethnoco-(Klein, Brandon, et. al. 2008, Orbinski 2008). The binary opposition of humanitarianism and environmental problematic in that the furthest oppositions between the two are so extreme. There is radical environmental in which a pristine natural environment untouched by human existence is the goal, and on the other end of it spectrum, specific humanitarian issues that conflict with ideals of environmental responsibility. These include issues like the employment of environmentally damaging technologies by famine relief programs, such as the of artificial fertilizers and the diversion of massive amounts of fresh water for irrigation purposes in order till produce the volume of food required. The tension that exists between environmentalism and humanitarian in particularly interesting given that these two broad campaigns generally arise from a similar sentiment of the importance and individual responsibility of human beings to take an active role in repairing injustices and inequalities in the world. In this way it can be a useful dichotomy as, despite the very similar roots of these it types of activism, the two are often at odds. As a supporter of both environmental and humanitarian efforts. have experienced firsthand the moral dilemma that occurs for those who see themselves as supporters of bull campaigns but come to wonder if the two can co-exist ideologically. In this paper, I am attempting to target if distinct issues: how problematizing terms and dichotomics in anthropology has become debilitating, how complicated it is to apply anthropological theory to the real world, and how both of these issues are exemplifi in environmental efforts, which contributes to the tension between environmentalism and humanitarianism Through Bruce Braun's The Intemperate Rainforest; Nature, Culture and Power on Canada's West Coast and

hiels Einarsson's All Animals are Equal but Some Are Cetaceans: Conservation and Culture Conflict. I attempt
in show how exceedingly difficult it is to avoid problematizing terms and dichotomies as we try to critically
inalyze any account of firsthand experience, be it academic research, history, media or narratives. Research,
listory, media and narratives are sources from which we gain much, if not all, of our information regarding both
lumanitarian and environmental issues, and thus, in attempting to understand the tension between these two
efforts, we must first understand how we are interpreting the sources that inform us about them.

The discipline of anthropology is entering an interesting era where sounding the alarm is no longer avolutionary and calling attention to systemic inequalities and shortsightedness often falls on deaf, desensitized ears. We have problematized nearly every term and dichotomy, while continuing to point lingers and throw red flags without any suggestions for alternative terms or new ways of approaching these usues. We have no answer as to how we rebuild a functional system with our reformed understandings of florough, apt and inclusive language. It is in this way that the intensive problematization of terms and dichotomics in anthropology (Braun 2002, Geertz 1973, Clifford 1986, Behar 1995, Raffles 1999) has had a ebilitating effect on anthropological academic discourse. These terms and dichotomies are undeniably problematic, and therefore the deconstruction of the issues affecting their use is necessary and important for understanding how complex these issues are and how much they affect every facet of research and discussion. However, it often seems as though attention is being paid so exclusively to the problematization of discourse that discourse itself is neglected. Philippe Bourgois escapsulates this sentiment by contextualizing his research "irrespective of the petty theoretical infighting of academic intellectuals" (Bourgois 2003,14). This may be easier said than done, as it is incredibly difficult to discuss any inthropological issue with any degree of thoroughness without referring to theory, and without using problematized terms and dichotomies (Raffles 1999, Braun 2002). Additionally, in an attempt to present a strong, coherent argument, it is often impossible to also unpack every last problematic aspect of each term

It became clear through my research that it is not advocating for the fair and responsible treatment of

and dichotomy that is being used.

the natural, ecological world (Einarsson 1993:73-84, Braun 2002) that is problematic, but rather the ecocentrism (Einarsson 1993:77) of extreme environmentalism that "see[s] people only as intruders who should be removed from pristine natural settings" (Einarsson 1993:82). For this reason I will be using the terms "extreme environmentalism/ts" and "radical environmentalism/ts" to refer to the type of environmentalism that is the most incompatible with fulfilling the basic needs of human subsistence and livelihood, and therefore, what provides the underlying friction between extreme environmentalism and humanitarianism. I will also occasionally be using the term "moderate environmentalism/ts" to refer to those who advocate the fair and responsible treatment of nature. as noted above, but not to the extent that human beings are entirely removed from nature. The primary concerns with radical environmentalism are that it is unsustainably ecocentric (Einarsson 1993:77), and that it reduces the complexity of ecological politics to the "binary logic" of "pristine nature/destructive humanity" (Braun 2002;2). Pristine nature/destructive humanity calls for preserved 'nature' free from all human influence, which ignores the simple reality that human beings require the natural resources of the earth for their most basic subsistence needs: food, shelter, water and clothing. It also ignores the reality of cultures and communities who employ profoundly sustainable environmental practices in their relationship with natural resources.

The argument to consider the human impacts of environmental campaigns is clearly illustrated in situations in which the protection of 'wild, pristine nature' supersedes aboriginal land and subsistence rights. This precise scenario is discussed at length in Bruce Braun's <u>The Intemperate Rainforest: Nature, Culture</u> and <u>Power on Canada's West Coast</u> (Braun 2002). Through his discussion of the efforts of radical

intromoentalist groups in Clayoquot Sound, British Columbia in the early 1990's (Braun 2002:1) he brings light a situation that involved three distinct groups with conflicting goals. One of these groups was a larging company which, though removing trees in order to make lumber, was simultaneously providing jobs brough the employment of those cutting trees, those manufacturing the raw product into lumber, those imployed at the lumber yard and those whose employment relied on the lumber being available: carpenters, intractors, construction workers, house builders, etc. In spite of the jobs it was creating logging was, and is mill, threatening the natural beauty of Clayoquot's "sheltered inlets, mist-enshrouded forests, and remote leaches" (Braun 2002:2), not to mention the ecological damage that deforestation causes. The group that was actively targeting the logging company for these precise reasons was the extreme environmentalists, who called for the return of the forest to pristine wilderness, an idea that was problematic for Braun. While te does not deny the existence of vast landscapes of naturally occurring flora and fauna, water, earth and air, he argues that "nature" and "the forest" as specific spaces are human conceptualizations (Braun 2002:2-3). Additionally, through his detailed discussion of the Nuu-chah-math First Nation, he reminds his audience of the inextricable link between human beings and the natural world. He also highlights the values of ecological sustainability and balance that are encouraged by aboriginal attitudes towards the use of natural resources and the earth itself (Braun 2002:81-82). These values are fundamental to First Nations land claims in ecopolitics, as they align the First Nation communities with the values of moderate environmentalism. It as the Nuu-chah-nulth First Nation themselves that are the third group, one which has aboriginal, ancestral and subsistence claims to the land. Braun goes on to discuss the effects of ecopolities, some of which are encapsulated in the "Clayoquot Sound Land Use Plan" map that was released by the BC Government in 1993 (Braun 2002:6-7). This map indicated land use for preservation, logging, wildlife, recreation, etc. but in no way mentioned land available for First Nations "spatial, environmental, and economic practices"

(Braun 2002:7). Braun illuminates the irony that despite First Nations knowledge of their land and cultural knowledge of the functions of nature and the forest, it is typically a middle class caucasian person that is ultimately making decisions on land use in areas where First Nations land claims and natural resource industries conflict. This type of reaction, based on the idea of First Nations' intrinsic relationship with the earth, illuminates another aspect of the tenuous relationship between indigenous peoples and environmentalists. It highlights the extreme romanticization of the closeness of indigenous people to the land (Braun 2002:94, 103-104), which serves to equate saving the environment with saving indigenous people (Muchlmann, class discussion, October 25 2011, Braun 2002:81).

Niels Einarsson provides an even more complicated example of the effects of radical environmentalism through an anthropological perspective on the effect of the environmental campaign against whaling on Icelandic whalers and fisherman in his article All Animals are Equal but Some are Cetaceans: Conservation and Culture Conflict in Kay Milton's 1993 book Environmentalism: The View from Anthropology. Like Braun, Einarsson refers to an "indigenous" community, or one that claims indigeneity, however in Einarsson's case 'indigenous' does not mean aboriginal or First Nations, as the Icelandic people arrived originally from Northern and Northwestern Europe to the previously uninhabited island of Iceland (Karlsson 2000:9-15), a complication that will be discussed in more detail in the following paragraphs. Overall, Einarsson's strongest point is the opposition of anthropocentrism and ecocentrism as the most basic point of contention between extreme environmentalists and the communities that their efform affect (Einarsson 1993:76-77).

Einarsson encapsulates the tension in environmentalism when he states that: "It is one thing to postulate that [...] animals should have rights, and another thing to condemn the cultural practices of people relating to the use of animals and attempt to force them to give up these practices" (Einarsson 1993:80).

Hissis an important quotation for several reasons. First of all, it calls attention to the absarding of entirely isolating human beings from the 'natural world', and acknowledges the necessity of human beings to make use of the worlds' natural resources in order to sustain themselves and their families. However, there is an invitious difference between taking only as much as is absolutely necessary from nature, and pillaging; between cutting down logs to build a village, and clear-cutting a minforest. For this reason, the Nuu-chahunth claim to the resources of Clayoquot Sound is more easily defendable than that of the Icelandic minke whalers, as we will see. The core values of aboriginal tradition with regards to the treatment of nature and its minures make a case that satisfies the values of moderate environmentalists, in

This quotation is also important because a necessary problematization is required in deciding what constitutes the "cultural practices" of a particular people, for without this problematization, there would be no reference for what "cultural practices" should be condemned for violating the earth, and which are ucceptable in the name of subsistence and cultural survival. For example, Einarsson makes reference to the conflicts between the conservationists and the "indigenous resource-users" (Einarsson 1993:81). The ideas of "cultural practices" and "indigenous resource- users" are both interesting. How long must a people live in a given place to be considered indigenous, in a situation like leeland where these were no previous inhabitants? How long must they routinely perform the same, or similar, activities and traditions, for these activities to be considered "cultural practices"? Say it has been decided that "indigenous" will mean "aboriginal", and "cultural practices" are the ancestral practices of those people. Then consider a scenario in which the ancestral practices of an aboriginal people change over time, as their technologies change and as they experience increased contact and trade with other communities. Consider also that North American companies and governments have been digging oil wells, logging forests, quarrying mountains and trawling

fish for over a hundred years. Does that make it North American "cultural practice" and therefore exempt from environmental efforts to reduce damage to the earth's ecosystems?

With these questions in mind, Einarsson introduces the unique situation involving Icelandic minke whalers (Einarsson 1993;73-84). In this case, the Icelandic ancestors have been in Iceland for over 2000 years, but these predecessors were actually Norse and Celtic (Karlsson 2000:8-14). This is further complicated by the fact that Norse and Celtic heritages are both fusions, the first of Norwegian, Scandinavian and Germanic background (Merriam-Webster) and the latter meaning "a modern Gael, Highland Scot, Irishman, Welshman, Cornishman, or Breton" (Merriam-Webster). Additionally, the island was previously uninhabited and so the Norse and Celtic settlers were, in fact, the first people to ever settle on Iceland (Karlsson 2000:9). The situation in Iceland is also unique in that fishing and whaling are not only essential, traditional subsistence practices, but given the limited natural resources on Iceland (Karlsson 2000:9), they are one of very few industries available to Icelandic workers (Karlsson 2000:287-291, 292-296). The situation is additionally complicated by the fact that minke whales are not endangered (Einarsson 1993:75), and that in 1986 when the moratorium on whaling was introduced, there were only nine minke whaling boats in all of Iceland (Einarsson 1993:80). It is not particularly difficult to successfully argue the right of West Coast First Nations to the land they have inhabited for thousands of years, particularly due to the sustainably focused values of resource use amongst West Coast First Nations that are perpetuated from both within and outside of those communities (Braun 2002;81-82). The commercial fishing industry in Iceland however, does not fit as cleanly into the ideals of sustainable environmentalism. As I stated earlier, it seems that in nearly all cases it is not advocating for the fair and responsible treatment of the natural, ecological world that is problematic, but rather extreme environmentalism that calls for the eradication of all human influence that is. The voices that oppose extreme environmentalism are further challenged by the fact

that they are not unified (Braun 2002:4). Some argue from the perspective that indigenous, aboriginal ways are innately environmentally responsible, while others argue that their livelihood and that of their families depends on industries that may be environmentally destructive in their own way, but that require the earth's ecological systems to remain relatively undisturbed in order for that industry to remain viable, such as the effects that the destruction of the forests has on the marine community, and therefore, on the fishing industry.

These two contributions to anthropological discourse exemplify how difficult it is to form a thorough and convincing argument when the discipline itself is fraught with contention. I introduced only a few topics, all of which are deeply interconnected and still there are dozens of terms, arguments and points that a critical reader will find problematic. It seems that anthropology is caught in a catch-22 where the absence of the deconstruction of terms and thorough explanation of choices in terms makes research an easy target for scathing critical analysis, however if you are to completely thoroughly discuss each contentious point in your argument, you are forced to oversimplify your research in some way. As we have seen in anthropological discourse, where the discussion of a single word such as "culture", "nature" or "local" (Geertz 1973, Braun 2002, Raffles 1999), can result in an entire book or a forty page journal article, the idea of 'thorough discussion' poses a serious logistical problem. The simplification that results from the thoroughness that the discipline demands is also problematic in that it loses the bigger picture of the research, the interconnectedness of issues, contention and existence, the complexity of culture. If we have learned anything from anthropological theory, it is that nothing is simple and everything is complicated. As anthropologists, how do we discuss our research in a way that thoroughly acknowledges its limitations, but also communicates its valid contribution to understanding the world around us?

Braun says something very profound in the introduction to <u>The Intemperate Rainforest</u>, while discussing the meetings that were arranged with the groups that united to oppose the logging company, which included environmentalists, members of Nun-chah-rulth communities, politicians, fisherpeople and many others (Braun 2002:4). He says that over the course of one particular weekend meeting "tempers road and fell, participants left and then were convinced to return, and speakers berated each other for their intolerance and inflexible positions" (Braun

2002:4). I found this particularly disheartening, because for me it showed the lack of ability, even in adult professionals with similar values, of people to reach consensus or come to any common understandings that are in the best interests of all those involved, both human and environmental. In that way, I think that ultimately it is part of our social responsibility as participants in anthropological discourse in the 21st century to reevaluate problematized concepts and provide options or solutions. I argue that the best solution for environmentalism is a middle ground, one

in which being environmentally responsible and respectful is combined with an acknowledgement of both indigenous, aboriginal land claims and livelihood, as well as the basic needs of all human subsistence. That being said, this solution is fraught with the problematizations of who is "aboriginal" or "indigenous", what constitutes "environmentally responsible" or "environmentally respectful", what "basic needs" are, and who decides the limitations of all of these. Perhaps it is time then, to collect the enormous variety of theoretical problematizations and reflect on them as a whole, in addition to individually. I think that for our own benefit, and the benefit of future generations of anthropologists and critical thinkers, we need to identify, or reidentify, the purposes of critical thinking and begin to offer at least the possibility of discussing where we go from here. As anthropologists, with our philosophies of subjectivity, awareness of the construction of the "Other" and our insight into universalism and cultural relativism, we are arguably in an excellent position to bring different perspectives and more inclusive ways of thinking into the world, and particularly into the realms of humanitarian and environmental efforts. We may not be able to change how things were

pproached in the past, but we can change how they are approached in the future.

References

Behar, Ruth and Deborah A. Gordon

1995 Women Writing Culture. University of California Press: Berkeley and Los Angeles, California, London, England

Bourgois, Philippe

2003 In Search of Respect: Selling Crack in El Barrio. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, New York.

Braun, Bruce

2002 The Intemperate Rainforest: Nature, Culture and Power on Canada's West Coast. University of Minneapolis Press: Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Clifford, James

1986 Introduction. In Writing Culture: The Poetics and Politics of Ethnography. University of California Press; Berkeley and Los Angeles, California

Einarsson, Niels

1993 All animals are equal but some are cetaceans: Conservation and culture conflict. In Environmentalism: The View from Anthropology. Kay Milton, ed. Pp 73-84, Routledge: London and New York.

Geertz, Clifford

1973 The Interpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays, Basic Books, Inc.: New York,

Karlsson, Gunnar

2000 History of Iceland. University of Minnesota Press: Minneapolis, MN.

Klein, Brandon and Daniel Klein, Nicholas Klein, Tim Klein, dirs.

2008 What are we doing here?, Documentary, 82 min. Klein Pictures Film, USA.

Merriam-Webster

2011 "Norse" and "Celt", Retrieved November 20, 2011 from http://www.merriam-webster.com/ Orbinski, Dr. James

2008 An Imperfect Offering: Humanitarian Action in the Twenty-First Century. Doubleday Canada: Toronto

Raffles, Hugh

1999 "Local Theory": Nature and the Making of Amazonian Place. Cultural Anthropology 14(3):323-360

Student Anthropologist

The Journal of the Anthropology Students
Association of UBC

